

Walter Ott

Prof. Dr. iur., MLaw

Maria Anna Rea-Frauchiger

Dr. iur., MLaw

The Varieties of Legal Positivism

The Hitler Argument and Other Objections
to Legal Positivism

DIKE 

 **Nomos**

Table of Contents

<i>Abbreviations</i>	XIV
§ 1 Introduction	1
A. Objectives	1
B. Conceptual Clarifications: Positivity – Validity – Legitimacy	3
I. The Criterion for Differentiating between the Variants of Legal Positivism	3
II. Positivity	4
III. Validity	5
1. Factual Validity (Effectiveness)	5
2. Normative Validity	6
IV. Legitimacy	7
V. Schematic Overview	7
First Part	9
Varieties and Concept of Legal Positivism	9
<i>First Chapter</i>	9
Analytical Positivism	9
§ 2 John Austin’s Analytical Jurisprudence	9
§ 3 German Statutory Positivism	17
§ 4 Hans Kelsen’s Pure Theory of Law	23
<i>Second Chapter</i>	33
Psychological Positivism	33
§ 5 Theories of Recognition	34
A. Theories of Individual Recognition	34
I. Ernst Rudolf Bierling’s Psychological Legal Theory	34
II. Rudolf Laun’s Theory of the Autonomy of the Law	37
B. Theories of General Recognition	40
I. Adolf Merkel	40
II. Georg Jellinek	42
C. Theories of Recognition by the Leading Class (Ernst Beling, Hans Nawiasky)	43
§ 6 Scandinavian Legal Realism	45
A. General Characterization	45
B. Alf Ross’s Realist Theory in Particular	47

Table of Contents

<i>Third Chapter</i>	52
Sociological Positivism	52
§ 7 Eugen Ehrlich	52
§ 8 Max Weber	56
§ 9 Theodor Geiger	59
§ 10 American Legal Realism	65
<i>Fourth Chapter</i>	69
Mixed Forms of Positivism	69
§ 11 H.L.A. Hart's Legal Theory	69
§ 12 Exclusive – Inclusive Positivism	83
A. Exclusive Positivism	85
I. Our Conception of the Law	85
II. The Argument from Function	86
III. The Authority Argument	86
B. Inclusive Positivism	88
I. Social Fact Thesis	89
II. The Conventionality Thesis	90
III. The Separability Thesis	91
IV. The Incorporation Thesis	92
§ 13 Neil MacCormick's and Ota Weinberger's Institutional Theory of Law	94
<i>Fifth Chapter</i>	102
The Concept of Legal Positivism	102
§ 14 The Ambiguity of the Term "Legal Positivism"	102
§ 15 Definitions and Characterization of Legal Positivism	109
A. Rejection of "Metaphysical" Assumptions	109
B. Definition of Law by Way of Empirical Criteria (Social Fact Thesis)	112
I. The Law as Positive Law	113
II. The Conceptual Distinction Between the Law as it Is, and the Law as it Ought to Be (Positivist Separation Thesis)	115
C. Definition of the Law by way of Variable Characteristics	119
D. Excursus: Coleman's II Rejection of the Separability Thesis	120
Second Part	127
The Axiomatic Character of Legal Positivism	127

<i>First Chapter</i>	127
The Problem of Scientific Cognition	127
§ 16 The Axiomatic Method	128
A. Characterization of the Axiomatic Method in General	128
I. The Axioms	128
II. Chain Definitions	129
III. Rules of Inference	129
IV. Axiomatic System Requirements	130
B. The Axioms in Particular	132
I. The Old-Fashioned Perception: Axioms as Evident Truths	132
II. The Modern Perception: Axioms as Postulates	133
C. Genetic and Logical Approach Illustrated by the Example of Euclidean Geometry	135
§ 17 The Empirical Method	138
A. Schematic Overview	138
B. Observation Statements (Basic Statements, “Konstatierungen”)	139
C. Laws	140
I. Hypothesization	141
II. Verification and Falsification	142
III. Formation of Theories	145
D. Singular Statements	146
E. Empirical Statements as Determinations	147
§ 18 Scientific Truth and Scientific Cognition	150
A. The Definition of Cognition as Stipulation	150
B. Logical Truth	152
C. Empirical Truth	153
D. Epistemological Positivism and Value Relativism	154
 <i>Second Chapter</i>	 155
Proving the Unprovability of Legal Positivism	155
§ 19 Statements	155
A. Claims about Habitual Language Use (Analytic Definitions)	156
I. Basics	156
II. Examples	156
III. The Positivist Definitions of Law as Analytic Definitions?	157
B. Real Definitions	161
I. Basics	161
II. Example: Definition of “Myopia”	161
III. Positivist Definitions as Real Definitions?	162
§ 20 Stipulative Definitions	165
A. Syntactic Definitions	165

B. Synthetic Definitions	165
I. Basics	165
II. Example: Definition of Death in Modern Medicine	167
III. The Positivist Definitions of the Law as Stipulations	169
C. The Unprovability and Irrefutability of Legal Positivism	173
Third Part	175
Conclusions	175
§ 21 Legal Philosophies as Axiomatic Theories of Law	175
A. The “Axiomatic” Character of Philosophical Thinking	175
B. The Theorems of Legal Philosophy as Constructs	177
C. Historical Relativity of Legal Positivism	180
D. The Question Concerning the Merits and Demerits of the Legal Positivist Theories	183
E. The Question as to the Merits and Demerits of the Positivist Separation Thesis in Particular	184
§ 22 The Merits of the Positivist Separation Thesis	188
A. The Usefulness of the Separation Thesis for Sociology of Law, History of Law and Ethnology of Law	188
B. The Separation Thesis from the Aspect of Legal Certainty	190
C. The Separation Thesis and the Problem of Legal Policy	190
D. The Separation Thesis and the Argument from Principles	192
I. The Three Main Theses of Positivism from Dworkin’s point of View	193
II. Dworkin’s Criticism of the Three Main Theses of Positivism	194
III. Justification of this Criticism by Dworkin	195
1. Differentiation of Legal Rules and Principles	195
2. The Discovery of the Relevant Principles	196
3. The Right-Answer Thesis	198
IV. No Disproof of the Positivist Separation Thesis by the Argument from Principles	200
§ 23 The Separation Thesis and the “Hitler Argument”	203
A. The Formulation of the Hitler Argument by Gustav Radbruch	204
B. Inhumane Law in the Light of the Different Positivist Theories	207
C. The Dispute about the Separation Thesis with Regard to Inhumane Law	211
I. The Naivety Argument	212
1. The Judge in an <i>Unrechtsstaat</i>	213
2. The Judge after the Collapse of an <i>Unrechtsstaat</i>	214
II. The Confusion Argument	218

III. The Risk of Anarchy	219
IV. The Charge of a Hidden Strategy in Criminal Cases	221
D. German Statutory Positivism and National Socialism	226
I. The Juristic Fraternity	227
II. The Binding Nature of the Law on the Judge	232
E. Result	246
§ 24 The Remaining Merits and Demerits of the Positivist Theories	249
A. General Aspects	249
B. The Merits and Demerits of Austin's Analytical Jurisprudence	252
C. The Merits and Demerits of German Statutory Positivism	255
D. The Merits and Demerits of Kelsen's Pure Theory of Law	258
E. The Merits and Demerits of Psychological Positivism	265
F. The Merits and Demerits of Sociological Positivism	269
G. The Merits and Demerits of Hart's Legal Theory	274
H. The Merits and Demerits of Inclusive and Exclusive Positivism	281
I. The Merits and Demerits of MacCormick's and Weinberger's Institutional Theory of Law	294
<i>Concluding Remarks: Natural Law as a Cultural Creation</i>	299
<i>Summary and Results</i>	302
<i>Select Bibliography</i>	313
<i>Glossary</i>	327
<i>Index</i>	333