

Stéphanie de Moerloose

World bank
environmental and
social conditionality as
a vector of sustainable
development



UNIVERSITÉ
DE GENÈVE
FACULTÉ DE DROIT

Schulthess
ÉDITIONS ROMANDES

§ 2020

Table of contents

Abstract.....	XV
Acknowledgements.....	XVII
Summary of research.....	XIX
Acronyms.....	XXI
Introduction.....	1
§I. The problem or "Please, please, don't bring up Indians"	1
§II. Definitions.....	3
A) <i>Defining MDB conditionality</i>	3
B) <i>Mapping conditionality in development assistance</i>	8
§III. Methodology and strands of research	10
A) <i>Methodology</i>	10
B) <i>Strands of research and relevant literature</i>	10
1) <i>Addressing conditionality from the perspectives of contract law, treaty law and global administrative law studies</i>	11
2) <i>Addressing sustainable development from the perspective of public international law studies</i>	13
3) <i>Addressing international development cooperation from the perspectives of law and development studies and a critical standpoint</i>	15
§IV. Outline of the book.....	16
Chapter 1: Multilateral Development Banks' Social and Environmental Conditionality	23
§I. Introduction.....	23
§II. Environmental and social conditionality in IBRD and IDA	24
A) <i>Environmental and social considerations in the World Bank safeguards for Investment Project Financing: the example of the environmental impact assessment</i>	26
B) <i>Categorizing environmental and social safeguards as selectivity or performance conditionality</i>	28
C) <i>Noncompliance with environmental and social safeguards</i>	29
D) <i>Environmental and social considerations in the World Bank new safeguards</i>	31
§III. The IFC's Sustainability Framework.....	33
A) <i>The Recipient's environmental and social assessment</i>	34
B) <i>The IFC's environmental and social due diligence</i>	35
C) <i>Categorizing the Sustainability Framework as selectivity or performance conditionality</i>	36
D) <i>Noncompliance with the Sustainability Framework</i>	37

§IV. Brief literature review of the legal nature of the Bank's environmental and social safeguards before the signing of a loan agreements	40
§V. The implementation of environmental and social conditionality in practice: the example of the Dinant case in Honduras.....	42
A) <i>Introduction</i>	42
B) <i>The project's environmental and social due diligence</i>	43
C) <i>The project's integrity due diligence and risk categorization</i>	44
D) <i>The consultation and disclosure requirements</i>	45
E) <i>The Loan Agreement's Environmental and Social Action Plan</i>	46
F) <i>The supervision of the Recipient's compliance with the IFC's safeguards pre-disbursement</i>	47
G) <i>The supervision of the Recipient's compliance with the IFC's safeguards after the first disbursement and current developments</i>	49
H) <i>The waiver and amendment of conditionality before and after the signing of the Loan Agreement</i>	50
§VI. Conclusions of Chapter One	54
Chapter 2: Inclusion of the environmental and social safeguards in the debate on conditionality.....	55
§I. Environmental and social safeguards within the longstanding debate over sovereignty	55
§II. Conditionality in an agency relationship	59
§III. Objectives for the insertion of environmental and social conditionality	61
A) <i>Conditionality inserted in order to ensure the "responsible use" of the aid</i>	61
B) <i>Conditionality inserted in order to induce a reform</i>	62
C) <i>Conditionality inserted in order to select a Recipient</i>	64
D) <i>Conditionality inserted in order to increase aid effectiveness</i>	65
E) <i>Conditionality inserted in order to answer the pressure of domestic constituency in Donor States</i>	66
F) <i>Conditionality inserted in order to support Recipients' Governments and attract funding</i>	66
G) <i>The six objectives of insertion of conditionality: brief analysis of the preferences in the agency relationship</i>	67
§IV. Environmental and social safeguards within classical criticisms to conditionality	70
A) <i>Inadequate design of the conditionality</i>	70
B) <i>Vicious circle of noncompliance with conditionality</i>	71
C) <i>Ineffectiveness of conditionality</i>	74

D) <i>The shift from ex ante or performance conditionality to ex post or selectivity conditionality</i>	75
E) <i>An intermediate way between performance and selectivity conditionality</i>	76
§V. Conclusions of Chapter Two	80
Chapter 3: Conditionality as a tool for the integration of sustainable development in MDBs	83
§I. Introduction	83
§II. MDBs' integration of the principle of sustainable development	84
A) <i>The principle of integration and its application by MDBs</i>	84
B) <i>The influence of civil society on the integration of sustainable development</i>	87
C) <i>Internal adjustments within the Bank in favor of sustainable development</i>	88
§III. The emulation phenomenon between the international effort for sustainable development and MDBs	91
A) <i>The integration of environmental and social considerations by MDBs before the Rio Conference</i>	92
B) <i>The integration of social and environmental considerations by MDBs at the time of the Rio Conference</i>	93
C) <i>The integration of sustainable development-related considerations by MDBs at the time of the Johannesburg Summit and beyond</i>	96
§IV. Convergence and plurality in the integration of sustainable development-related considerations in MDB safeguards	99
§V. Conclusions of Chapter Three	103
Chapter 4: Harmonization and fragmentation of safeguards	105
§I. The aid effectiveness agenda as a call for an international harmonization effort	105
§II. Safeguards harmonization as a trigger of either a race to the top or to the bottom	107
A) <i>Three criticisms against harmonization</i>	107
B) <i>Why still harmonize? The benefits of a "race to the top"</i>	111
§III. Case study: the cooperation and harmonization effort in the Kenya Electricity Expansion project	113
A) <i>The proliferation of judicial and quasi-judicial bodies and potential conflicting decisions</i>	114
B) <i>Two International Accountability Mechanisms: The World Bank Inspection Panel and the European Investment Bank Complaints Mechanism</i>	116
1) <i>Two International Accountability Mechanisms, one history</i>	116
2) <i>Two IAMs, two sets of social and environmental safeguards</i>	117

3)	<i>Two IAMs, two mandates</i>	119
4)	<i>Two IAMs and the development of sustainable development law</i>	121
C)	<i>The Kenya Electricity Expansion Project before the Panel and the EIB-CM</i>	121
1)	<i>The Complaints before the Inspection Panel and the Complaints Mechanism</i>	122
2)	<i>The IAM's integration mechanisms</i>	123
a)	<i>Harmonization at the stage of IAM Jurisdiction <i>ratione materiae</i></i>	123
b)	<i>A managerial approach to the dispute settlement process</i>	125
c)	<i>The Conclusions' de facto harmonization</i>	127
i)	<i>IAM findings on involuntary resettlement</i>	127
ii)	<i>IAM findings on indigenous peoples</i>	128
iii)	<i>Harmonization at the problem-solving stage</i>	130
d)	<i>The Panel and the EIB-CM's managerial approach: towards IAM complementarity?</i>	132
§IV.	<i>Conclusions of Chapter Four</i>	135
Chapter 5:	<i>The analysis of environmental and social safeguards as "legal transplants"</i>	137
§I.	<i>The law and development studies' analysis of "legal transplantation" applied to sustainable development and the Bank</i>	138
§II.	<i>Case study: the World Bank's application of the principle of sustainable development in the Matanza-Riachuelo project in Argentina</i>	140
A)	<i>The Argentine judiciary and World Bank interventions in the Matanza-Riachuelo Basin</i>	142
B)	<i>The application of the World Bank social and environmental safeguard policies to the M-R Basin cleanup</i>	144
1)	<i>The management of the infrastructure's environmental impacts</i>	144
2)	<i>The project's environmental management plan</i>	145
3)	<i>The requirements in case of a population resettlement</i>	146
§III.	<i>The possible reasons for the M-R project's slow start</i>	147
A)	<i>Obstacles to the Borrower's implementation of environmental and social conditionality</i>	148
B)	<i>The obstacles to the Borrower's implementation in the M-R case</i>	150
C)	<i>The "hidden" political obstacle to the Borrower's implementation in the M-R case</i>	152
D)	<i>Two brief remarks on the relevance of the context for conditionality implementation</i>	154

§IV. The implementation of sustainable development through safeguards' potential sovereignty infringement	154
A) <i>The argument of the cross-cultural validity of sustainable development</i>	155
B) <i>The argument of the safeguards' procedural validity within the World Bank</i>	156
C) <i>Defining power asymmetries and domestic preferences as variables for the sovereignty infringement of environmental and social conditionality</i>	156
§V. Conclusions of Chapter Five	163
Chapter 6: The new World Bank safeguards within the debate on sovereignty and the harmonization of sustainable development-related considerations	165
§I. The World Bank's new Environmental and Social Framework	166
A) <i>Two approaches to environmental and social conditionality in the consultations on the new safeguards</i>	166
B) <i>The third and final draft of the new Environmental and Social Framework</i>	167
§II. The use of country systems in the international aid agenda	169
A) <i>Rationale for the use of country systems</i>	169
1) <i>The sovereignty of the Borrower as a rationale for the use of country systems</i>	169
2) <i>Conditionality implementation difficulties as a rationale for the use of country systems</i>	170
3) <i>The aid effectiveness agenda as a call for the use of country systems</i>	171
4) <i>Sustainability and competitiveness as rationales for the use of country system</i>	173
B) <i>The "Pilot" phase of the use of country systems by the World Bank, starting 2005</i>	174
1) <i>The process for the Pilot Use of Country Systems</i>	174
2) <i>The evaluation of the Pilot UCS</i>	176
a) <i>The negative appraisal for the Pilot UCS process</i>	176
b) <i>The criticism of the use of country systems from the perspective of environmental and social advocacy groups</i>	178
c) <i>The use of country systems within the debate on ownership</i>	180
C) <i>The use of country systems in the new ESF</i>	181
1) <i>Differences and similarities between the use of country system in the Pilot phase and in the new ESF</i>	181
2) <i>The reception of the new ESF's Use of Borrower's Framework</i>	183
D) <i>The UBESF within the debate on accountability</i>	185

1) <i>The competence of the Inspection Panel to review compliance in projects using the Borrower's system.....</i>	186
2) <i>The potential development of a standard of review by the Panel for projects that use the Borrower's system</i>	187
3) <i>The reasons for using a comparative approach to a judicial standard of review</i>	188
4) <i>Can there be lessons learnt from the Eskom case for the Panel's work with the UBESF?</i>	190
5) <i>Ways forward to apply the new UBESF</i>	191
§III. The integration of the Free, Prior and Informed Consent of indigenous peoples in the Environmental and Social Framework as a product of a harmonization effort	192
A) <i>Defining the concept of forced eviction.....</i>	193
B) <i>The Free, Prior and Informed Consent of indigenous peoples under international law</i>	195
C) <i>The interpretations of the FPIC requirement's concept of consent... 198</i>	198
1) <i>The interpretation of consent pursuant to Human Rights instruments</i>	198
2) <i>The interpretation of consent in the World Bank's EFS and the IFC's Performance Standards</i>	199
a) <i>Indications from the IFC's Guidance Note on indigenous peoples on the concept of consent.....</i>	200
b) <i>The analysis of the Environmental and Social Framework and the ESF Guidance Note: towards a "consultation-plus" concept instead of consent?</i>	201
D) <i>Two remarks on FPIC as an example of the product of the harmonization process</i>	205
§IV. Conclusions of Chapter Six	208
Chapter 7: Possible solutions for a more effective implementation of social and environmental conditionality	211
§I. Institutional reform as a solution to the vicious circle of conditionality noncompliance	211
A) <i>Organization reform within the Bank regarding staff incentives, communication and resources</i>	212
B) <i>Institutional application of safeguards as binding rules</i>	213
§II. Harmonization as a solution to the ineffectiveness of conditionality	214
A) <i>Adoption of a managerial approach</i>	214
B) <i>Implementation of a Human Rights-based harmonization</i>	215
§III. Assessment of the context as a solution to the inadequate design of conditionality	215

A) <i>Strict assessment of the general context for the compliance with environmental and social conditionality</i>	216
B) <i>The assessment of the Borrower's potential resistance to safeguards implementation</i>	217
§IV. Conclusions of Chapter Seven	219
General conclusion	221
Bibliography	229
Addendum	279