

Accords de technologie

Technology Transactions

Marco M. Aleman; Christoph Spennemann;
Mark Anderson; Philippe Gilliéron; Adrien Alberini

Sommaire/*Contents*

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Avant-propos | V |
| Table des abréviations | XIII |
| Marco M. Aleman | |
| Patent Transactions. Limited regulation in the multilateral legal framework and diverse legislation and practice at the country level | 1 |
| Christoph Spennemann | |
| International Technology Transactions from a Development Perspective .. | 15 |
| Mark Anderson | |
| International IP transactions: arguments for developing a UN standard .. | 33 |
| Philippe Gilliéron | |
| IT Agreements – from software to cloud services | 53 |
| Adrien Alberini | |
| Accords de technologie et droit de la concurrence: de l'approche plus économique à la saisie par l'abus de position dominante | 73 |

Table des matières/*Table of contents*

| | |
|------------------------------|------|
| Avant-propos | V |
| Table des abréviations | XIII |

Patent Transactions. Limited regulation in the multilateral legal framework and diverse legislation and practice at the country level

Marco M. Aleman

| | |
|--|----|
| I. Introduction | 1 |
| II. Types of Patent Technology Transactions Involving Patents | 2 |
| III. The International Legal Framework | 3 |
| IV. The current agenda | 4 |
| V. Key Issues for International Patent Transactions | 5 |
| A. Assignment of Patent Rights | 6 |
| 1. The line between inventorship and ownership | 7 |
| 2. Joint inventions | 8 |
| 3. Formalities required to effect assignment of patent rights | 9 |
| B. Licensing of Patents | 10 |
| 1. Formalities required | 10 |
| 2. Standing of exclusive licensees to enforce a patent | 11 |
| C. Security interests over patent rights | 12 |
| D. Other issues to consider in international patent transactions | 13 |
| VI. Conclusion | 14 |

International Technology Transactions from a Development Perspective

Christoph Spennemann

| | |
|--|----|
| I. Introduction | 15 |
| II. Formal and informal means of technology transfer and their role in the development process | 16 |
| III. The role of contractual transactions in facilitating developing countries' access to technology | 18 |
| A. <i>ELEA</i> in Argentina | 19 |
| B. <i>Beximco Pharmaceuticals Ltd</i> in Bangladesh | 20 |
| C. <i>Tecnoquímicas</i> in Colombia | 20 |

| | | |
|-----|--|----|
| D. | <i>SEAA</i> in Ethiopia | 22 |
| E. | <i>Quality Chemicals</i> in Uganda | 23 |
| F. | Lessons from the case studies | 24 |
| IV. | The limitations of the licensing approach | 25 |
| A. | The UNCTAD draft International Code of Conduct on the Transfer of Technology | 27 |
| B. | The TRIPS Agreement | 28 |
| V. | Conclusions | 30 |

International IP transactions: arguments for developing a UN standard

Mark Anderson

| | | |
|------|---|----|
| I. | Introduction | 33 |
| II. | International nature of IP transactions, and their increasing importance in international trade | 35 |
| III. | Patchy and inconsistent treatment of IP transactions in national and international IP laws | 37 |
| A. | Assignments | 39 |
| B. | Licences | 40 |
| C. | Co-ownership | 42 |
| IV. | Why does it matter? | 43 |
| A. | Dealing with the issues in the licence agreement; poor quality of licence templates | 43 |
| B. | First example – pass on the benefit of the licence to licensee’s customers | 45 |
| C. | Second example – pass on obligations to new owners of the licensed IP | 46 |
| V. | Comparison with laws on the sale of goods | 49 |
| VI. | Need for international approach to IP transactional law | 51 |

IT Agreements – from software to cloud services

Philippe Gilliéron

| | | |
|----|--|----|
| I. | Typology | 53 |
| A. | Proof of concept (PoC) | 53 |
| B. | Software agreements | 54 |
| C. | Cloud based agreements | 54 |
| D. | Professional services agreements | 55 |
| E. | Digital agreements | 55 |

| | | |
|------|---|----|
| II. | Structure | 55 |
| III. | Software agreements (on premises) | 57 |
| | A. General remarks | 57 |
| | B. License | 57 |
| | C. Support | 59 |
| | D. Escrow | 60 |
| IV. | Cloud based agreements | 61 |
| | A. General remarks | 61 |
| | B. License | 61 |
| | C. Support | 63 |
| | D. Escrow | 65 |
| | E. Data protection and security | 66 |
| V. | Professional services agreements | 67 |
| | A. General Remarks | 67 |
| | B. Contract structure | 67 |
| | C. New products | 68 |
| | D. Customization of existing products | 69 |
| VI. | Digital services agreements | 70 |
| VII. | Conclusion | 72 |

**Accords de technologie et droit de la concurrence: de
l'approche plus économique à la saisie par l'abus de
position dominante**

Adrien Alberini

| | | |
|------|--|----|
| I. | Introduction | 73 |
| II. | Réglementation en matière d'accords de technologie | 77 |
| | A. Aperçu des règles applicables | 77 |
| | B. Changement de paradigme | 79 |
| III. | Notion d'accord de technologie | 80 |
| | A. Véritables accords de technologie? | 80 |
| | B. Qualification autonome et distinctions | 82 |
| | C. Contexte économique et structure de marché | 83 |
| IV. | Restrictions intratechnologiques: approche formaliste | 84 |
| | A. Notion et approche | 84 |
| | B. Restrictions en matière de territoires et de clients | 85 |
| V. | Restrictions de l'incitation à innover: approche plus économique | 89 |
| | A. Notion et approche | 89 |
| | B. Rétrocessions des améliorations | 90 |

| | |
|--|-----|
| C. Interdiction d'effectuer de la recherche et développement | 92 |
| D. Non-contestation des droits de propriété intellectuelle | 93 |
| VI. Une saisie par l'abus de position dominante? | 97 |
| A. Du refus de contracter aux termes FRAND | 97 |
| B. Engagement FRAND: focus initial sur le processus de négociation . | 100 |
| C. Evolution vers les conditions de la licence et le niveau des redevances | 101 |
| VII. Conclusions | 106 |