Nicolas Grégoire

Evidentiary Privileges in International Arbitration

A Comparative Analysis under English, American, Swiss and French Law







Table of Contents

| Acknowledgm | entsVII |
|--|--|
| Table of Conte | ntsIX |
| Abbreviations | xv |
| Terminology | xxI |
| INTRODUCTI | ON1 |
| I. II. | The Issue |
| CHAPTER 1 - | EVIDENCE IN INTERNATIONAL ARBITRATION7 |
| 1.1 1.2 | Introduction |
| 1.2.1 | Nature and rationale of privileges |
| 1.2.1.1 1.2.1.2 1.2.1.3 | Confidentiality and privilege |
| 1.2.2 | Origins |
| 1.2.2.1 1.2.2.2 | In common law |
| 1.2.3 | Forms of objections |
| 1.3 | The Taking of Evidence in Arbitration: Laws and Rules 17 |
| 1.3.1 | Arbitration laws |
| 1.3.1.1. 1.3.1.2 1.3.1.3 1.3.1.4 1.3.1.5 | Model Law 17 English law 18 American law 18 French law 19 Swiss law 20 |
| 1.3.2 | Arbitration rules |
| 1.3.2.1 1.3.2.2 | ICC Rules |

| 1.3.2.3 1.3.2.4. 1.3.2.5 1.3.2.6 | ICDR Rules | 24 25 |
|---|--|--|
| 1.3.3 1.3.4 | The lack of guidance on privileges in arbitration laws and rules | 27 |
| 1.0.1 | privilege? | |
| 1.4 | Public Policy Considerations | 33 |
| 1.4.1 1.4.2 | The rules determined by the parties | |
| 1.4.2.1 | Sword and shield | 36 |
| 1.4.3 1.4.4 | Equal treatment of the parties | |
| 1.4.5 | Production of privileged materials in violation of criminal law | |
| 1.5 | Conclusion | 42 |
| CUADTED 2 | DRIVITE CECTIMINED ENGLISH AMEDICAN CUITES | |
| CHAFTER 2 - | PRIVILEGES UNDER ENGLISH, AMERICAN, SWISS AND FRENCH LAW | 43 |
| 2.1 2.2 | | 43 |
| 2.1 | AND FRENCH LAW Introduction Legal Framework England United States France | 43 43 43 44 45 |
| 2.1 2.2 2.2.1 2.2.2 2.2.3 | AND FRENCH LAW Introduction Legal Framework England United States France Switzerland | 43 43 43 44 45 47 |
| 2.1 2.2 2.2.1 2.2.2 2.2.3 2.2.4 | AND FRENCH LAW Introduction Legal Framework England United States France | 43 43 44 45 47 47 48 54 61 |
| 2.1 2.2 2.2.1 2.2.2 2.2.3 2.2.4 2.3 2.3.1 2.3.2 2.3.3 | AND FRENCH LAW Introduction Legal Framework England United States France Switzerland Attorney-Client Privilege England United States France France | 43 43 44 45 47 47 48 54 61 63 |
| 2.1 2.2 2.2.1 2.2.2 2.2.3 2.2.4 2.3 2.3.1 2.3.2 2.3.3 2.3.4 | AND FRENCH LAW Introduction Legal Framework England United States France Switzerland Attorney-Client Privilege England United States France Switzerland Switzerland Switzerland United States France Switzerland | 43 43 44 45 47 47 48 54 61 63 66 67 72 |

| 2.5.1 2.5.2 | England |
|--------------------------------------|--|
| 2.6 | Without Prejudice Privilege77 |
| 2.6.1 2.6.2 2.6.3 2.6.4 | England |
| 2.7 | Mediation Privilege87 |
| 2.7.1 2.7.2 2.7.3 2.7.4 | England |
| 2.8 | Self-Critical Analysis Privilege92 |
| 2.8.1 | United States92 |
| 2.9 | Trade Secrets Privilege97 |
| 2.9.1 2.9.2 2.9.3 2.9.4 | England |
| 2.10 | Privilege Against Self-Incrimination in Civil Proceedings 100 |
| 2.10.1 2.10.2 2.10.3 2.10.4 | England 101 United States 101 France 103 Switzerland 103 |
| 2.11 | State Secrets |
| 2.11.1 2.11.2 2.11.3 2.11.4 | England |
| 2.12 | Patent Agent Privilege113 |
| 2.12.1 2.12.2 2.12.3 2.12.4 | England |
| 2.13 | Accountant Privilege 115 |
| 2.13.1 | England |

| 2.13 2.13 | | France | |
|----------------------|-------------------------------|--|----------------|
| 2.14 | | Conclusion | . 117 |
| CHAI | PTER 3 - | CHARACTERIZATION AND APPLICABLE LAW FOR PRIVILEGES IN INTERNATIONAL ARBITRATION | . 119 |
| 3.1 3.2 | | Introduction | |
| 3.2. 3.2. 3.2. | 2 | Procedural characterization | . 121 . 122 |
| 3.3 | | Privileges Characterized as Procedural in Nature | . 123 |
| 3.3. 3.3. | | The rules of privilege of the seat The rules of privilege of the jurisdiction of the law governing the merits | |
| 3.4 | | Privileges Characterized as Substantive in Nature | |
| 3.4. | 1 | The law chosen by the parties to govern the merits | . 126 |
| | 3.4.1.1 | Dépeçage | . 127 |
| 3.4. | .2 | The law determined by the tribunal | . 128 |
| | 3.4.2.1 3.4.2.2 3.4.2.3 | The law applicable to the merits as determined by the tribunal | . 129 . 129 |
| 3.5 | | Privileges under Both Characterizations | |
| 3.5. | .1 | Conflict of laws approaches which have been considered in litigation | |
| | 3.5.1.1 3.5.1.2 | The interest analysis The public policy of the forum approach | . 131 |
| 3.5. | .2 | The closest connection test | |
| | 3.5.2.1 3.5.2.2 3.5.2.3 | Dépeçage and closest connection test | . 137 |
| 3.5 | .3 | Direct application of the rules determined by the tribunal without conflicts analysis | |
| | 3.5.3.1 | Transnational rules | . 146 |

| | 3.5.3.2 | International law | . 147 |
|-------------|---|---|-------------------------|
| | 3.5.4 3.5.5 | Common principles to the different jurisdictions connected to the dispute (<i>tronc-commun</i>) | . 148 |
| J | 3.5.5.1 3.5.5.2 3.5.5.3 3.5.5.4 | In existing conventions and rules | . 151 . 152 . 152 |
| 3 | 3.5.6 3.5.7 3.5.8 | The "least favorable" privilege rule | . 154 |
| 3.6 | | Conclusion | |
| CH | APTER 4 – | TRANSNATIONAL RULES, PRACTICAL CONSIDERATIONS AND PROPOSALS | |
| 4.1 4.2 | | Introduction Definition and Formation of Transnational Rules in Arbitration | |
| - | 1.2.1 1.2.2 | Sources of transnational rules | . 160 |
| 4.3 | | Is the Development of Transnational Rules of Privilege Desirable in Arbitration? | . 165 |
| 4 4 4 | 1.3.1 1.3.2 1.3.3 1.3.4 1.3.5 | Legitimate expectations | . 165 . 167 . 168 |
| | 1.3.6 1.3.7 | Equal treatment of the parties | . 169 |
| 4.4 | | Do Transnational Rules of Privilege Currently Exist in Arbitration? | . 170 |
| 4 | 1.4.1 | The attorney-client privilege and work product doctrine | . 170 |
| | 4.4.1.1 4.4.1.2 4.4.1.3 | National laws International rules International cases | . 172 |
| | 4414 | The transpational rule | |

| 4.4.2 | The without prejudice privilege and mediation privilege | 180 |
|--|---|------------|
| 4.4.2.1 4.4.2.2 4.4.2.3 4.4.2.4 | National laws International rules International cases The transnational rule | 181 184 |
| 4.4.3 | State secrets privilege | 186 |
| 4.4.3.1 4.4.3.2 4.4.3.3 4.4.3.4 | National laws | 188 188 |
| 4.5 | How to Protect Allegedly Privileged Materials and Other Considerations | 191 |
| 4.5.1 4.5.2 4.5.3 | Agreement of the parties on privileges In camera review Review by a neutral third party | 194 |
| 4.5.4 4.5.5 4.5.6 | Redaction of privileged information | 199 200 |
| 4.6 | Conclusion | 205 |
| CONCLUSIO | N | 207 |
| Bibliography | | 211 |
| Table of Cases | S | 225 |