

ARBEITEN AUS DEM IURISTISCHEN SEMINAR
DER UNIVERSITÄT FREIBURG SCHWEIZ

Herausgegeben von Peter Gauch

351

R. SELVA KORKMAZCAN IŞIK

The Monitoring and
Enforcement
of Commitments by way
of Arbitration in
EU Competition Law

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	VII
SUMMARY TABLE OF CONTENTS	IX
TABLE OF CONTENTS	XI
ABBREVIATIONS	XXVII
BIBLIOGRAPHY	XXIX
INTERVIEWS	LIII
TABLE OF LEGISLATION	LV
TABLE OF JUDGMENTS AND AWARDS	LIX
TABLE OF COMMISSION DECISIONS	LXVII
TABLE OF COMMISSION DECISIONS WITH ARBITRATION CLAUSES	LXXIII
TABLE OF OFFICIAL DOCUMENTS	LXXVII
TABLE OF STUDIES AND REPORTS	LXXIX
INTRODUCTION	1
PART I. EU COMPETITION LAW AND INTERNATIONAL COMMERCIAL ARBITRATION	7
1. The Arbitrability of Matters Involving EU Competition Law	7
I. The Problem: Public Law – Private Interests Divide	7
II. The Case-Law Establishing the Arbitrability of EU Competition Law Matters	12
1. United States of America	12
2. Switzerland	13
3. EU Member States	14
4. The EU	15
2. The Legal Framework for the Application of EU Competition Rules in an Arbitration	15
I. The <i>Eco Swiss</i> Case	16
1. The Dispute	16
2. The Arbitration	16
3. Review of the Award and Referral to the ECJ by Dutch Courts	17
	XI

	4. The ECJ’s Preliminary Ruling	18
II.	Other Case-Law relating to EU Public Policy	21
	1. Manfredi	21
	2. Mostaza Claro	21
	3. Asturcom	22
	4. Conclusion	24
III.	The Impact of Modernization on the Application of EU Competition Rules by Arbitrators	24
	1. Arbitrators’ Power to Apply EU Competition Rules in Full	24
	2. The Exclusion of Arbitration from the Mechanisms Established by Regulation 1/2003	25
3.	Issues Concerning the Application of EU Competition Law in an Arbitration	28
I.	<i>Ex Officio</i> Application of EU Competition Rules by Arbitrators	28
	1. Considerations for the Acceptance of an Ex Officio Duty	28
	2. The Extent of the Duty of Ex Officio Application of EU Competition Rules	29
	2.1. The Seat is a Member State	29
	2.2. Lex Contractus is the Law of a Member State	30
	2.3. Lex Contractus is the Law of a Non-Member State	30
	2.4. The Seat is a Non-Member State	31
	2.5. The Parties are Silent as to the Raising of EU Competition Law Issues	31
	3. Concluding Remarks	32
II.	The Scope of Public Policy in EU Competition Law	33
	1. The Narrow Scope of Public Policy in International Arbitration	33
	2. The EU Law Criteria for Establishing the Public Policy Character of EU Competition Rules	34
	3. Objections to the EU Law Criteria	35

4.	Commentary	36
III.	The Extent of Review of Awards Involving EU Competition Law Issues by National Courts	37
1.	Overview	37
2.	The Maximalist View	39
3.	The Minimalist View	40
IV.	National Court Cases	42
1.	Thalès	43
2.	SNF SAS v. Cytec	45
3.	CYTEC v. SNF SAS	46
4.	Marketing Displays	47
5.	Judgment of the Düsseldorf Court of Appeals	49
6.	Judgment of the Thüringen Court of Appeals	49
7.	Schlumberger v. Nuovo Pignone	50
8.	Terra Armata Srl v. Tensacciai SpA	50
9.	Tensacciai SpA v. Terra Armata Srl	51
V.	Concluding Remarks on the Extent of Review of Arbitral Awards	52
4.	The Current State of the Relationship between EU Competition Law and Arbitration	56
I.	EU Institutions' Endorsement of Arbitration	57
1.	The Commission's Awaiting the Outcome of an Arbitration	57
2.	The Use of Arbitration within the Framework of the Motor Vehicle Distribution Block Exemption Regulation	58
3.	The Use of Arbitration for the Monitoring and Enforcement of Commitments	59
4.	Assistance from the Commission to Arbitral Tribunals	61
5.	Endorsement of the General Court	61
II.	The Arbitrators' Increased Awareness of EU Competition Law	62

PART II. COMMITMENTS IN EU COMPETITION LAW	65
1. Overview	65
I. The Concept	65
II. The Classification of Commitments	66
2. The Use of Commitments in EU Merger Control	68
I. Overview	68
II. The Legal Framework	68
III. The Procedure	70
1. Notification of the Transaction	70
2. Phase I	71
3. Phase II	72
4. The Burden of Proof	72
5. The Requirements for the Acceptance of Commitments	73
6. The Market-Testing of Commitments	73
7. Procedural Rights of the Parties and Third Parties	74
7.1. Pre-Notification Meetings	74
7.2. Procedural Safeguards that Ensure the Right to Be Heard	74
7.3. Procedural Rights of Third Parties	75
7.4. The Role of the Hearing Officers	76
7.5. The Role of the Member States	78
7.6. The Sanctions for Breach of Commitments	78
7.7. Judicial Review	80
IV. The Acceptability of Structural and Behavioural Commitments	80
1. Gencor	80
2. Tetra Laval/Sidel	83
3. GE/Honeywell	84
V. Divestiture	86
1. Types of Divestiture	87
1.1. Divestiture of an Entire Business	87
1.2. Divestiture of a Carved-Out Business	88
1.3. Divestiture of Brands	89
1.4. Divestiture of Intellectual Property	89
1.5. Removal of Links with Competitors	90

2.	Measures to Ensure the Effectiveness of Divestiture	91
2.1.	Suitable Purchaser	91
2.2.	Crown Jewels	91
2.3.	Upfront Buyer and Fix-It-First Solutions	91
2.4.	Behavioural Commitments Accompanying Divestiture	92
VI.	Rebranding	94
VII.	Access Commitments	95
1.	Commitments Granting Access to Key Infrastructure and Networks	98
2.	Commitments Granting Access to Key Technology	100
3.	Commitments Granting Access to Other Essential Inputs and Markets	102
VIII.	Purely Behavioural Commitments	104
3.	The Use of Commitments in EU Antitrust	106
I.	The Legal Framework	106
II.	Antitrust Commitments before the Introduction of Commitment Decisions	107
1.	Informal Settlements	107
2.	Conditions and Obligations within the Framework of Individual Exemption Decisions	109
III.	The Appeal of Commitment Decisions	109
IV.	The Procedure	113
1.	The Offering of Commitments	113
2.	The Preliminary Assessment	113
3.	The 'Notice' and the Market Testing of the Commitments	114
4.	The Procedural Rights of Parties and Third Parties	115
5.	The Reopening of Proceedings	117
6.	Sanctions for Breach of Commitments	117
7.	Judicial Review of Commitment Decisions	118
V.	Divestiture	118
1.	German Electricity Wholesale Market and Electricity Balancing Market	118

Table Of Contents

	2. RWE Gas Foreclosure	119
VI.	Commitments Granting Access to Key Infrastructure and Networks	120
	1. GDF gas foreclosure	120
	2. E.ON gas foreclosure	120
	3. British Airways/American Airlines/Iberia	120
	4. Microsoft	121
VII.	Commitments Granting Access to Key Technology	122
VIII.	Commitments Granting Access to Essential Inputs and Markets	122
	1. Bundesliga	122
	2. Football Association Premier League (FAPL)	124
	3. Cannes Extension Agreement	125
	4. Coca-Cola	126
	5. Repsol	127
	6. De Beers/Alrosa	128
	7. Ship Classification	128
	8. Distrigaz	129
	9. EDF	129
	10. IBM Maintenance Services	130
IX.	Purely Behavioural Commitments	130
	1. Coca-Cola	131
	2. Repsol	131
	3. Microsoft	131
4.	Compliance Issues	132
	I. Factors that Promote Compliance with Commitments	132
	1. Involvement of the Respondent Party in the Decision Making	132
	2. Reputational Aspects	133
	3. The Deterrence of Sanctions	133
	II. Factors that Lead to Non-Compliance with Commitments	133
	1. The Excessive Scope of Commitments	133
	1.1. In EU Antitrust	134
	1.2. In EU Merger Control	139

2.	Crawling Compliance with Commitments	140
III.	The Necessity of Monitoring and Enforcement of Commitments	143
5.	Monitoring and Enforcement Mechanisms	144
I.	The Monitoring and Enforcement of Commitments by the Commission	144
1.	The Commission's Investigative Powers	144
1.1.	In EU antitrust	145
1.2.	In EU Merger Control	145
2.	Potential NCA Assistance to the Commission	146
3.	Compliance Measures Required from the Undertakings Bound by the Commitments	149
II.	The Monitoring of Commitments by Trustees	150
1.	General	150
2.	Trustee Tasks in Divestitures	151
3.	Trustee Tasks in Behavioural Commitments	153
4.	The Evolution of the Role of the Trustees	154
III.	The Monitoring of Commitments by National Regulatory Agencies (NRAs)	156
IV.	Third Party Monitoring and Enforcement of Commitments	159
1.	Third Parties as Monitors	159
2.	Complaints to the Commission	161
2.1.	The Complaints procedure	161
2.2.	The Relevance of the Complaints Procedure for Third Party Beneficiaries	162
3.	Built-In Dispute Resolution Mechanisms	163
6.	The Potential Enforcement of Commitments by National Courts	165
I.	Introduction	165
II.	The Direct Effect of Commission Decisions Involving Commitments as the Legal Basis for their Enforcement by National Courts	167

Table Of Contents

1.	Sufficiently Precise and Unconditional Decisions	173
2.	The Conferring of Rights on Individuals	174
3.	Binding Decisions	174
4.	The Application of EU Law in Commission Decisions Involving Commitments	175
5.	The Need to Maintain the Effectiveness of Decisions Involving Commitments	177
III.	Alternative Legal Basis: Article 4(3) TEU	178
IV.	Alternative Legal Basis: Article 16 of Regulation 1/2003	180
1.	In EU Antitrust	180
2.	In EU Merger Control	183
V.	Alternative Legal Basis: Contract Law	185
VI.	The Viability of the Enforcement of Commitments by National Courts as a Procedural Remedy	188
1.	The Advantages of the National Courts for the Enforcement of Commitments	188
1.1.	The Promotion of the Private Enforcement of EU Competition Law by the ECJ and the Commission	188
1.2.	The Cooperation and Coordination Mechanisms	193
1.3.	The Ease of Enforcement of Member State Judgments within the EU	195
2.	The Shortcomings of the National Courts for the Enforcement of Commitments	196
2.1.	Lack of an Explicit Legal Basis for the Enforcement of Commitments	196
2.2.	Legal and Procedural Obstacles in National Laws	197
2.3.	The Limited Expertise of National Judges	200
2.4.	The Issue of Neutrality	200
VII.	Conclusion	201

PART III. COMMITMENT RELATED ARBITRATIONS	203
1. The Workings of Commitment Related Arbitrations	203
I. Overview	203
1. In EU Merger Control	203
2. In EU Antitrust	204
II. Types of Disputes Subjected to Commitment Related Arbitrations	205
1. Disputes Concerning Access to Key Infrastructure and Networks	205
2. Disputes Concerning Access to Key Technology	207
2.1. Licensing Disputes	207
2.2. Interoperability Disputes	209
3. Disputes Concerning Access to Essential Input and Markets	210
3.1. Supply and Purchase Commitments	210
3.2. Termination of Exclusive Arrangements	212
4. Disputes Concerning Transitional Behavioural Commitments Following Divestiture	212
5. Disputes Concerning Rebranding Commitments	215
6. The Potential Use of Arbitration for the Obligations in the Interim Period	215
2. The Legality of Commitment Related Arbitrations	216
I. Procedures Based on Mutual Consent	217
II. No Delegation of Commission Powers to Private Tribunals	217
1. In EU Merger Control	217
2. In EU Antitrust	218
III. The Confirmation of the Legality of Commitment Related Arbitrations by the General Court	219
IV. The Confirmation of the Legality of Commitment Related Arbitrations in Swiss Law	219
V. The Use of Arbitration within the Context of US Consent Orders	223

Table Of Contents

VI.	Express Mention in the Notice on Remedies	224
3.	The Nature of Commitment Related Arbitrations	225
I.	Regulatory Arbitration	225
II.	Ordinary Commercial Arbitration	226
III.	Supranational Arbitration	228
IV.	Commentary	228
	1. The Subject Matter of Commitment Related Arbitrations	228
	2. The Arbitrators' Role in Realizing Policy Goals	229
	3. The Monitoring Function Expected from Third Parties	230
	4. Conclusion	231
4.	Commitment Related Arbitration Clauses	232
I.	The Evolution of the Clauses	232
	1. Overview	232
	2. Efforts to Produce Model Clauses	235
	3. The Current Standard of Commitment Related Arbitration Clauses	236
II.	Analysis of the Features of Commitment Related Arbitrations	237
	1. Erga Omnes Offers to Arbitrate	237
	2. Pre-Arbitral Phase	239
	3. The Request for Arbitration and the Answer	241
	4. The Preference of Institutional Arbitration	242
	5. The Nomination of Arbitrators and the Constitution of the Tribunal	244
	6. The Organizational Meeting	247
	7. The Taking of Evidence	247
	8. The Prima Facie Evidence Rule	250
	9. Fast-Track Dispute Resolution	252
	10. Applicable Law	254
	11. The Seat of Arbitration	257
	12. The Standard of Confidentiality	258
	13. The Content of the Award	262
	14. Necessary Qualifications of Arbitrators	263

III.	Evaluation of Commitment related Arbitrations in Light of the Perceived Advantages of Arbitration in General	266
	1. Flexibility	266
	2. Confidentiality	268
	3. Neutrality	269
	4. Expertise	270
	5. Ease of Enforcement	271
5.	The Commission's Involvement in Commitment Related Arbitration Proceedings	272
I.	The Transmission of Case Information to the Commission	273
II.	The Interpretation of the Commitments by the Commission	275
	1. The Legitimacy of the Commission's Interpretation of Commitments	275
	2. Possible Benefits of Commission Interpretation of Commitments	277
III.	Binding Interpretation of the Commitments by the Commission	277
	1. Concerns for the Independence of the Arbitrators	279
	2. The Inability to Challenge the Commission's Binding Interpretation	282
	3. Conclusions	283
IV.	The Commission's Involvement on its Own Motion	283
	1. The Objections to the Commission's Intervention as Amicus Curiae	286
	1.1. Violation of the Party Autonomy Principle	286
	1.2. Involvement Unnecessary as Awards are Subject to Review by National Courts	287
	2. The Limits of Commission Intervention as Amicus Curiae	290
V.	The Suggested Transmission of Data from the Commission to the Tribunal	292

VI.	Concluding Remarks on the Commission's Involvement in Commitment related Arbitrations	294
6.	Dispute Resolution by Trustees	295
I.	Expert Determination by Trustees	295
1.	General	295
2.	The Commission's Practice	297
2.1.	Danish Crown/Vestjyske/Slagterier	297
2.2.	T-Mobile/Orange	297
2.3.	SNCF/LCR/Eurostar	298
3.	Commentary	299
II.	Mediation by Trustees	301
1.	The Concept of Mediation	301
2.	The Growing Importance and Advantages of Mediation	302
2.1.	General	302
2.2.	Tiered Dispute Resolution Mechanisms	303
2.3.	Mediation of EU Competition Law Issues	304
3.	Trustee Mediation Procedures	305
3.1.	Stand-alone Trustee Mediation	305
3.2.	Trustee Mediation Followed by Arbitration	308
4.	The Rationale of Trustee Mediation Procedures	309
4.1.	Workable Solutions in Medium to Long-Term Commercial Relationships	309
4.2.	Prevention of delaying tactics	310
4.3.	The Filter Function of Mediation	311
5.	Suggestion: Trustee Mediation During Arbitral Proceedings	311
5.1.	Bühning-Uhle's Mediation Windows	312
5.2.	Applicability in Commitment Related Arbitrations	314
6.	The Issues Raised by Trustee Mediation Procedures	315
6.1.	Doubts as to the Utility of Trustee Mediation Procedures	315

6.2. The Absence of a Legal Back-Up in Stand-Alone Trustee Mediation Procedures	316
6.3. The Trustees' Potential Lack of Skills for Dispute Resolution	316
6.4. The Clash between the Different Roles of the Trustee in the Dispute Resolution Process	317
6.5. The Impact of the Trustee's Relationship with the Commission on the Mediation	319
7. Concluding Remarks	320
7. The Reasons for the Scarcity of Commitment Related Arbitration Cases	321
I. Due Compliance with Commitments	322
1. Possible Commission Investigations and Heavy Fines	322
2. Respect for Social Norms	322
3. Respect for Legal Obligations	323
4. The Deterrence of Commitment Related Arbitrations	324
II. The Nature of Commitment Related Issues	324
III. The Inadequate Drafting of the Arbitration Clauses	326
1. Preliminary Negotiation Requirements with No Time Limits	326
2. Ad Hoc Arbitration Clauses with No Details	327
3. The Failure to Select a Neutral Seat of Arbitration	328
IV. The Non-use of Commitments	329
V. Flawed Design of Commitments	332
VI. The Beneficiaries' Lack of Understanding the Commitments	337
VII. The Beneficiaries' Unawareness of the Arbitration Commitment	338
VIII. The Beneficiaries' Lack of Access to Evidence	339
IX. The Beneficiaries' Lack of Financial Resources	340

X.	The Beneficiaries’ Fear of Reprisals	341
XI.	The Availability of Alternative means of enforcement	344
	1. Litigation	344
	2. Complaints to the Commission	344
	3. Expert Determination and Mediation by Trustees	347
8.	The Informal Settlement of Commitment Related Disputes	348
I.	The Commission’s Tendency of Taking Informal Action	348
II.	The Commission’s Practice during the Implementation of Commitments	350
III.	The Absence of a Distinction between Private and Regulatory Disputes	352
	1. The Distinction between Regulatory and Commercial Disputes in the UK Rail Access Disputes Resolution System	353
	2. The Application of the UK Allocation System to Commitment Related Disputes	355
	3. The Commission’s Perspective	356
IV.	The Rationale of Dealing with Commitment Related Issues Informally	357
V.	The Impact of the Commission’s Informal Practices on Commitment Related Arbitrations	359
VI.	Due Process Issues	361
	1. Overview	361
	2. The Criticisms Based on Due Process in EU Competition Law Proceedings	362
	3. Applicable Fundamental Rights in the Field of EU Competition Law	364
	3.1. The Presumption of Innocence	365
	3.2. The Principle of Legality	365
	3.3. The Principle of Equal Treatment and Proportionality	366
	3.4. The Right to Be Heard	367
	3.5. The Duty to State Reasons	369

3.6. The Right to an Effective Remedy and Fair Trial	369
4. Evaluation of the Informal Handling of Commitment Related Disputes in Light of the Applicable Fundamental Rights	371
4.1. General	371
4.2. The Commission's Superior Bargaining Position	376
4.3. The Commission's Bias	377
4.4. The Trustees' Potential Lack of Skills for Dispute Resolution	380
VII. Policy Issues	381
1. The Discrepancy between Announced Policy and Practice	381
2. The Repercussions on the Development of the Law on Commitments	383
VIII. Concluding Remarks and Suggestions	386
CONCLUSIONS	389
APPENDIX – THE ARBITRATION CLAUSE IN CASE COMP/M.3998 <i>AXALTO/GEMPLUS</i> , 19.5.2006	399
INDEX	405