

AN DER UNIVERSITÄT ZÜRICH

Herausgeber: Rolf H. Weber, Andreas Heinemann

Stefan Tsakanakis

Efficient Antitrust Enforcement in Developed and Emerging Jurisdictions

Schulthess \S 2014

Table of Contents

PREFACE	V
TABLE OF CONTENTS	VII
TABLE OF ABBREVIATIONS	xv
BIBLIOGRAPHY	XIX
I. ARTICLES/BOOKS/REPORTS	XIX
II. CASE LAW	XLV
1. United States	
2. European Union	
3. Switzerland	
4. Others	
III. LEGISLATION	
1. United States	
2. European Union	
3. Switzerland	
4. China	
5. Brazil	
6. Others	
IV. OTHER CITED SOURCES	
A. INTRODUCTION	
I. OVERVIEW	1
II. OUTLINE AND PURPOSE	3
B. STATUS QUO OF PUBLIC ANTITRUST ENFORCEMENT	6
I. UNITED STATES	6
1. Institutional Structure	6
a) Department of Justice	
b) Federal Trade Commission	
c) State Attorneys General	
2. Procedure	
a) DOJ Antitrust Enforcement Procedure i) Civil Enforcement	
i) Civil Enforcement ii) Criminal Enforcement	
iii) Business Review Letters	
b) FTC Antitrust Enforcement Procedure	

c) Judicial Review	10
3. Leniency	12
a) Corporate Leniency	12
b) Individual Leniency	14
II. EUROPEAN UNION	. 15
1. Introduction	
2. Institutional Structure	
a) Directorate General for Competition	17
b) European Courts	18
i) European Court of Justice	. 18
ii) General Court	18
iii) Independence and Expertise	
3. Procedure	
a) Overview	20
b) Criminal Enforcement	20
c) Commitments and Settlements	21
i) Commitments under Regulation 1/2003	21
ii) Special Settlement Procedure for Cartel Cases	
4. Leniency	
5. Interaction between EU and National Antitrust Enforcement	
a) Decentralization of European Antitrust Law Decision-Making	26
b) Interaction Between EU and National Antitrust Authorities	
c) Member State Authority to Displace Treaty Antitrust Norms	
III. Switzerland	
1. Institutional Structure	
a) Competition Commission	
b) Secretariat of the Competition Commission	
2. Procedure	. 29
a) Investigation and Decision-Taking	
b) Judicial Review	
c) Penalties and Sanctions	31
3. Leniency	. 31
IV. China	
1. Institutional Structure	. 32
2. Procedure	. 34
a) Penalties and Sanctions	34
b) Settlements	34
c) Abuse of Power of Government Officials	35
d) Transparency of Investigation and Decision-Finding	
e) Independence of Antitrust Enforcement	
3. Leniency	
4. Implementation of the Anti-Monopoly Law	. 37

	a) Provisions on Monopoly Agreements	
	b) Provisions on Abuse of Position	
ν.	BRAZIL	
1	1. Institutional Structure	
2	2. Procedure	
	a) Overview	
	b) Fines	
	c) Criminal Enforcement	
	d) Settlements	
3	3. Leniency	
4	4. Competition Advocacy	
	a) Promotion of Competition and Illustration of Antitrust Enfo	
	b) Perception of Antitrust Enforcement	
c. s	STATUS QUO OF PRIVATE ANTITRUST ENFORCEMENT	
Ι.	UNITED STATES	47
1	1. Overview	
2	2. Right of Action	
3	3. Class Actions	
4	4. Damages	50
5	5. Contingency Fees	
6	6. Discovery	52
١١.	EUROPEAN UNION	53
1	1. Overview	53
2	2. White Paper	55
3	3. Directive on Private Damages Actions	56
4	4. Implication of EU Law on National Private Enforcer	ment 58
111.	Switzerland	59
1	1. Overview	59
2	2. Right of Action	60
	a) Legal Basis	60
	b) Consumers	
	c) Consumer Associations	
_	d) Class Actions	
-	3. Damages	
-	4. Contingency Fees	
	5. Burden of Proof	
IV.		•
	1. Overview	
2	2. Class Actions	65

	2	Datasarad	
	3.	Damages	
	4.	Contingency Fees	
	5.	Burden of Proof	
	6.	Practical Impact and Obstacles to Private Antitrust Enforcement	
V		Brazil	68
	1.	Overview	68
	2.	Right of Action	69
	3.	Class Actions	70
	4.	Damages	
	5.	Burden of Proof	
	6.	Settlements	
D.		EMENTS OF EFFICIENT PUBLIC ANTITRUST ENFORCEMENT	
١.	l	NSTITUTIONAL STRUCTURE	
	1.	Institutional Effectiveness	
		a) Independence and Accountability	
		b) Transparency	
		c) Confidentialityd) Administrative Efficiency	. 78
	2.		
	2.	a) Advantages of Multi-Agency Enforcement	70
		i) Independence and Diversification	. 79
		ii) Expertise	
		iii) Inter-Agency Competition	
		b) Drawbacks of Multi-Agency Enforcement	
		i) Duplication of Fixed Costs	
		ii) Coordination and Differential Outcomesc) Overall Assessment of Multi-Agency Enforcement	
	3.	Adversarial and Inquisitorial Procedures	
	<i>4</i> .	Specialized Antitrust Courts	
II		QUALIFICATION OF INDIVIDUALS	
11	1.	Government Officials	
	1. 2.		
		Judiciary	
11	1.	CORRUPTION AND NEPOTISM	
	1.	Grand Corruption	
	2.	Petty Corruption	
		a) Corruption of Government Officials	
	2	b) Corruption of the Judiciary	
	3.		
- 1	٧.	COMPETITION ADVOCACY	94

V.		TOOLS TO DETECT AND ATTACK ANTI-COMPETITIVE CONDUCT	97
	1.	Settlements of Antitrust Cases	98
		a) Perspective of Defendants	
		b) Perspective of Antitrust Agencies	98
		i) Speed	99
		ii) Cost Saving	99
		iii) Settlement Rewards	99
		c) Case Selection	
		d) Rights of Defense	101
	2.	, , ,	
		a) Incentives for Participation in Leniency Programs	
		b) Function and Outcome of Leniency Agreements	
		c) Limits of Leniency Agreements	104
	3.	Dawn Raids	106
		a) Purpose	
		b) Legal Challenges	
		i) Privilege Against Self-Incrimination	
		ii) Right to Privacy	
		iii) Rights of Defense	
		c) Procedural Requirements	
		i) Search Authorization	
		ii) Conducting the Search	
VI		Penalties and Remedies	
	1.		
	2.	Prison Sanctions	113
	3.	Injunctions	115
	4.	Probability of Detection and Transparency of Sanctions	117
		a) Probability of Detection	
		b) Transparency of Sanctions	
E.	FI	EMENTS OF EFFICIENT PRIVATE ANTITRUST ENFORCEMENT	120
L		INTRODUCTION	
		CLASS ACTIONS	
11.			
	1.		
		a) Bundling of Claims	
		b) Improving Detection	
	~	c) Deterrence	
	2.	, 5	
	3.		
		a) Moral Hazard	
		b) Class Actions and State Authority	
		c) Criticism of Class Actions	126

III. DAMAGES	
1. Calculation	128
2. Deterrence	
3. Drawbacks and Risks of Treble Damages	129
a) Moral Hazard	
b) Cases Suitable for Treble Damages	130
c) Treble Damages in Combination with Class Actions	
4. Conclusion on Treble Damages	
IV. BURDEN OF PROOF ISSUES AND DISCOVERY	
1. Social Benefits of Discovery	
a) Detection and Deterrence	
b) Settlement Quality	
2. Social Costs of Discovery	
a) Costs of Discovery b) Abuse of Discovery	
V. CONTINGENCY FEES	
1. Risk Shifting	
2. Advantages for Plaintiff Lawyers	
a) Potentially Higher Fees	
b) The Lawyer as an Entrepreneur	
c) Agency Problems	
d) Frequency of Litigation	
VI. FOLLOW-ON ACTIONS	141
1. Overview	141
2. Interaction between Public Sanctions and Private Damages	142
VII. RISKS AND CHANCES OF PRIVATE ENFORCEMENT	143
1. Why Private Enforcement Should be Limited	143
a) Divergence between Private and Public Objectives	
b) Avoid Over-Deterrence	
2. Why Private Enforcement Should be Encouraged	145
F. INTERNATIONALIZATION OF ANTITRUST ENFORCEMENT	147
I. OVERVIEW	147
II. ANTITRUST PROTECTIONISM AND DISCRIMINATION	149
1. Trade-Flow Bias	149
2. Export Cartels	
3. Import Cartels	
4. Biased Enforcement	

III. INTERN	ATIONAL COOPERATION	153
1. Form	ns of Cooperation	153
a) Ne	tworks	153
	ssical Administrative Cooperation	
	fits of International Cooperation	154
	s of Cooperation	
a) Dif	ferences in Procedures	155
b) Tra	ansparency Requirements	156
	k of a Binding Nature	
	ATIONAL HARMONIZATION	
	nonization through Networks	
	ernational Competition Network	
	orld Trade Organization ganization for Economic Cooperation and Development	
	ited Nations Conference on Trade and Development	
	nonization through Cooperation and Mutual Influence	
	fits of International Harmonization	
-	provement of Antitrust Enforcement	
•	oiding Discrimination of Foreign Companies	
	ues of Heterogeneous Antitrust Norms	
4. Pre-0	Conditions for International Harmonization	164
5. Outle	ook for the Future	165
V. INTERNA	ATIONAL ANTITRUST INSTITUTIONS AND JOINT ENFORCEMENT	166
1. Inter	national Antitrust Institutions	166
2. Joint	Enforcement	169
	· ·erview	
	nefits of Joint Enforcement Agreements	
3. Effec	cts on Non-Member Jurisdictions	173
4. Obst	cacles to the Creation of International Antitrust Institution	ons
and Joint	Enforcement	174
	sts of Establishing	
· · · ·	ss of Sovereignty	
	ck of a Competition Culture	
-	ethod of Antitrust Interpretation	
G. CONCLU	DING REMARKS	180
I. Public E	ENFORCEMENT	180
II. PRIVAT	E ENFORCEMENT	181
III. Key Di	FFERENCES BETWEEN DEVELOPED AND EMERGING JURISDICTION	s 182
IV. INTERN	NATIONALIZATION OF ANTITRUST ENFORCEMENT	184