

Marco Felder

IP Boxes from
a European,
Liechtenstein and
Swiss Perspective

Schulthess § 2013

Contents

Foreword	V
Contents	VII
Table of Contents	XVIII
List of Abbreviations	XXI
List of Literature	XXIV
1. Books	XXIV
2. Articles	XXVIII
List of Materials	XXXIX
1. Judicature	XXXIX
I. Europe	XXXIX
II. Liechtenstein	XLI
III. Switzerland	XLI
2. Laws and authority publications	XLII
I. Belgium	XLII
II. Liechtenstein	XLII
III. Luxembourg	XLVI
IV. Netherlands	XLVI
V. Switzerland	XLVI
VI. United Kingdom	XLVIII
3. IBFD Tax Research Platform	XLIX
I. Belgium	XLIX
II. Liechtenstein	XLIX
III. Luxembourg	XLIX
IV. Netherlands	L
4. Other	L
I. European Union	L
II. Liechtenstein	LIV
III. Luxembourg	LVI

IV. OECD	LVI
V. Switzerland	LVI
VI. United Kingdom	LVIII
Introduction	1
1. Justification of the subject	1
I. Why IP boxes?	1
1. Tax incentive for commercialisation of innovation	1
2. Change in the location of holding intangibles	2
3. Supplementation of input elements	3
4. Compensation of market failures	3
5. Competitiveness	4
II. Connecting factors of IP boxes to Liechtenstein and Switzerland	5
2. Scope of the study	7
3. Structure of the study	8
Part 1: IP Box Regimes of Selected European Countries	11
1. Introduction	11
2. Belgium	11
I. Overview	11
II. Analysis	12
1. Reduced taxation of profits from relevant IP rights	12
A) Election for special treatment of profits from relevant IP rights	12
2. Relevant companies	14
A) Meaning of relevant company	14
B) Rights to which the IP box applies	15
a) Patents	18
b) Supplementary protection certificates	19
C) Development condition	19
a) Research centres	20
D) Ownership condition	25
3. Relevant IP profits	25
A) Calculation of relevant IP profits	25
B) Relevant IP income	32
a) Sales income	32
b) License fees	34
c) Proceeds of sale	35
d) Damages for infringement	35
e) Other compensation	36

C) Notional royalty	36
D) Excluded income	37
E) Mixed sources of income	38
F) Profits arising before grant of a right	38
4. Anti-avoidance	39
5. Supplementary	40
A) Application of the IP box in relation to partnerships	40
B) Application of the IP box to cost sharing arrangements	40
C) Transfer pricing	41
D) Double taxation relief	44
E) Notional interest deduction	44
III. Critical acclaim	45
1. Rights to which the IP box applies	45
A) Catalogue of relevant IP rights	45
B) Research centres	45
2. Relevant IP profits	46
A) Proceeds of sales	46
B) Carry-forward of excess PID	46
C) Profits arising before grant of a right	46
3. Supplementary	47
A) Missing notification	47
B) Estimation of future success	47
3. Luxembourg	48
I. Overview	48
II. Analysis	49
1. Reduced taxation of profits from relevant IP rights	49
A) Election for special treatment of profits from relevant IP rights	49
2. Relevant taxpayers	51
A) Meaning of relevant taxpayer	51
B) Rights to which the IP box applies	51
a) Patents	54
b) Supplementary protection certificates	57
c) Trademarks	58
d) Designs and models	60
e) Domain names	62
f) Software	63
C) Development condition	64
D) Ownership condition	65
3. Relevant IP profits	65
A) Calculation of relevant IP profits	65
B) Relevant IP income	68
a) Sales income	68

b)	License fees	68
c)	Proceeds of sale	69
d)	Damages for infringement and other compensation	69
C)	Notional royalty	69
D)	Excluded income	71
E)	Mixed sources of income	72
F)	Profits arising before grant of a right	72
4.	Relevant IP losses	72
5.	Anti-avoidance	72
6.	Supplementary	73
A)	Application of the IP box in relation to partnerships	73
B)	Application of the IP box to cost sharing arrangements	74
C)	Meaning of affiliated companies	74
D)	Transfer pricing	76
E)	Double taxation relief	76
F)	Valuation of IP rights and net worth tax	78
a)	Valuation of IP rights	78
b)	Net worth tax	80
III.	Critical acclaim	80
1.	Relevant IP rights	80
2.	Supplementary	81
A)	Lack of clarity	81
B)	Missing notification	81
4.	Netherlands	82
I.	Overview	82
II.	Analysis	83
1.	Reduced corporation tax rate for profits from relevant IP	83
A)	Election for special treatment of profits from relevant IP	83
2.	Relevant taxpayers	86
A)	Meaning of relevant taxpayer	86
B)	IP to which the IP box applies	87
a)	Patents and R&D declarations	87
aa)	Patents	87
bb)	R&D declarations	88
b)	Plant protection products	90
C)	Development condition	90
D)	Ownership condition	93
3.	Relevant IP profits	94
A)	Calculation of relevant IP profits	94
a)	Lower threshold	94
b)	Development costs	95
c)	Transitory provision regarding upper threshold	100

d)	Practical implications	100
aa)	Methods of profit attribution	100
bb)	<i>Advance ruling</i>	101
B)	Relevant IP income	102
a)	Categories of relevant IP income	102
aa)	Sales income	102
bb)	License fees	103
cc)	Proceeds of sale	103
dd)	Damages for infringement and other compensation	103
b)	Substance test	103
C)	Notional royalty	105
D)	Excluded income	105
E)	Profits arising before grant of right	106
4.	Relevant IP losses	106
5.	Supplementary	107
A)	Revocation of IP box election made	107
B)	Application of the IP box in relation to partnerships and fiscal unities	107
C)	Application of the IP box in relation to cost sharing arrangements	108
D)	Double taxation relief	109
III.	Critical acclaim	110
1.	Relevant IP	110
A)	Acquired patents	110
B)	Software	110
2.	Relevant IP profits	110
A)	Determination of IP profits	110
B)	Thresholds	111
3.	Deductibility of losses at general rate	112
4.	Supplementary	112
A)	Partnerships and fiscal unities	112
B)	Cost sharing arrangements	112
C)	Missing notification	112
D)	Estimation of future success	113
5.	Conclusion	113
Part 2: Impact of European and International Principles on IP Box Regimes		119
1.	Introduction	119
2.	State aid	120
I.	Overview	120
II.	Analysis	121

1. Main features of state aid	122
A) General definition	122
B) Procedural rules	124
2. State aid rules in the field of direct taxation	125
A) Commission notice on the application of the state aid rules to measures relating to direct business taxation	126
a) Cumulative conditions of article 107 of the TFEU	126
b) Distinction between state aid and general measures	128
c) Selectivity	129
d) Discretionary administrative practices	131
B) Report on the implementation of the Commission notice on the application of the state aid rules to measures relating to direct business taxation	132
a) Concept of advantage	132
aa) Alternative taxation methods under the OECD Transfer Pricing Guidelines	132
bb) Avoidance of double taxation	133
cc) Recipients of aid	133
b) State resources condition	134
c) Effect on trade and competition	134
d) Selectivity	134
e) Justification of a measure by the nature or general scheme of the system	135
f) Concept of aid and harmful tax measure within the meaning of the Code of Conduct	135
C) Community framework for state aid for research and development and innovation	136
D) Commission regulation declaring certain categories of aid compatible with the common market in application of articles 107 and 108 of the TFEU	136
3. Selected state aid decisions	137
A) Spanish IP box	137
a) Description of the measure	137
b) Assessment	138
aa) Economic advantage	138
bb) Presence of state resources	138
cc) Effect on trade and competition	139
dd) Selectivity	139
B) Gibraltar corporate tax reform	140
a) Decision of the Commission	140
b) Decision of the General Court	142
c) Opinion of Advocate General Jääskinen	144
d) Decision of the European Court of Justice	145

C) Liechtenstein IP box	148
a) Economic advantage	149
b) Presence of state resources	149
c) Selectivity	149
4. EU-Switzerland Free Trade Agreement	151
A) European interpretation	152
B) Swiss interpretation	153
C) Lack of jurisdiction	155
D) Open criticism	155
III. Critical acclaim	158
3. Code of Conduct	165
I. Overview	165
II. Analysis	166
1. Scope	167
2. Harmful Tax Measures	167
A) Step 1	167
B) Step 2	168
C) Step 3	168
3. Standstill and Rollback	170
4. Relation to State Aid	170
III. Critical acclaim	172
4. Conclusion	177
Part 3: Liechtenstein IP Box Regime	181
1. Introduction	181
2. Commentary	181
I. Overview	181
II. Analysis	185
1. Reduced taxation of profits from relevant IP rights	185
A) Election for special treatment of profits from relevant IP rights	185
2. Relevant taxpayers	188
A) Meaning of relevant taxpayer	188
B) Rights to which the IP box applies	189
a) Patents	195
aa) Utility models	196
b) Supplementary protection certificates	197
c) Trademarks	200
d) Designs	203
e) Software	205

f)	Technical and scientific databases	208
C)	Development condition	212
D)	Ownership condition	212
3.	Relevant IP profits	215
A)	Calculation of relevant IP profits	215
a)	Determination of assessment basis	215
b)	Associated tax-relevant expenses	217
aa)	R&D costs	218
bb)	License costs and damages for infringement	219
cc)	Personnel costs	219
dd)	Material and supplies costs	220
ee)	Premises costs	220
ff)	Plant and machinery costs	220
gg)	Professional services costs	220
hh)	Administration and registration costs	220
ii)	Write-down costs	220
jj)	Finance costs	222
kk)	Equity costs	222
c)	Other features of tax deduction	223
B)	Relevant IP income	226
a)	Sales income	226
b)	License fees	228
c)	Proceeds of sale	230
d)	Damages for infringement	230
e)	Other compensation	232
C)	Notional royalty	233
D)	Mixed sources of income	236
E)	Profits arising before grant of a right	237
4.	Relevant IP losses	239
5.	Anti-avoidance	240
6.	Supplementary	241
A)	Making of election for the IP box	241
B)	Revocation of election made for the IP box	243
C)	Application of the IP box in relation to partnerships	244
D)	Application of the IP box to cost sharing arrangements	245
E)	Transfer pricing	246
F)	Double taxation relief	248
G)	Notional interest deduction	250
3.	Areas for potential improvement	253
I.	Overview	253
II.	Analysis	255
1.	Rights to which the IP box applies	255

A)	Utility models	256
B)	Supplementary protection certificates	257
C)	Topographic rights	257
D)	Know-how and trade secrets	258
E)	Regulatory data and plant variety rights	260
F)	Extension to relevant IP rights created or acquired before 1 January 2011	263
2.	Relevant IP profits	267
A)	Steps for calculating relevant IP profits	269
a)	Step 1	270
b)	Step 2	270
c)	Step 3	270
d)	Step 4	271
B)	Routine return figure	272
C)	Routine deductions and non-routine deductions	273
D)	Marketing assets return figure	274
E)	Notional marketing royalty	276
a)	Marketing assets	276
b)	Assumptions for the notional marketing royalty	278
F)	Actual marketing royalty	279
G)	Calculation example	280
3.	Supplementary	282
A)	Transfer pricing	282
B)	Double taxation relief	283
C)	Input tax incentives	284
a)	R&D super deduction	287
b)	R&D tax credit	290
c)	Partial exemption from payment of withholding tax on wages paid to scientific researchers	290
D)	Jurisdiction over IP matters	291
III.	Proposal of change in the law and summary	292
4.	Conclusion	296
Part 4: Swiss IP Box Regimes		299
1.	Introduction	299
2.	Nidwalden IP box regime	299
I.	Overview	299
II.	Analysis	300
1.	Reduced taxation of profits from relevant IP	300
A)	Election for special treatment of profits from relevant IP	300
2.	Relevant companies	302

A)	Meaning of relevant company	302
B)	IP to which the IP box applies	303
C)	Development condition	304
D)	Ownership condition	304
3.	Relevant IP profits	305
A)	Calculating of relevant IP profits	305
a)	Determination of assessment basis	305
b)	Associated commercially justified expenses	307
B)	Relevant IP income	307
a)	Sales income	307
b)	License fees	308
c)	Proceeds of sale	308
d)	Damages for infringement	308
e)	Other compensation	309
C)	Notional royalty	309
D)	Mixed sources of income	310
E)	Profits arising before grant of a right	310
4.	Relevant IP losses	311
5.	Anti-avoidance	314
6.	Supplementary	314
A)	Making of election for the IP box	314
B)	Revocation of election made for the IP box	314
C)	Application of the IP box in relation to partnerships	314
D)	Application of the IP box to cost sharing arrangements	315
E)	Double taxation relief	315
III.	Critical acclaim	315
1.	IP to which the IP box applies	315
2.	Relevant IP income	316
3.	Notional royalty	317
3.	Federal model of an IP box regime	317
I.	Overview	317
II.	Proposed Swiss IP box regime	318
1.	Purpose of the proposed IP box	320
2.	Ownership requirement	320
3.	Profits benefiting from the IP box	321
A)	Relevant IP income	321
B)	Removing a routine return	321
C)	Removing a marketing assets return	322
4.	Applying the IP box to relevant IP profits	322
5.	Other aspects of the IP box	322
III.	Critical acclaim	322

1. Constitutionality	322
A) Research and innovation	323
B) Principles of taxation	324
a) Legal equality and prohibition of arbitrary action	325
b) Universality of taxation	327
c) Uniformity of taxation	328
d) Ability to pay	329
e) Non-fiscal purposes	332
2. Impact on Direct Tax Act and Tax Harmonisation Act	335
3. Phase-in	336
4. Double taxation relief	337
4. Conclusion	337
Part 5: Summary	343
Index	347

Table of Contents

Foreword	V
Contents	VII
Table of Contents	XVIII
List of Abbreviations	XXI
List of Literature	XXIV
List of Materials	XXXIX
Introduction	1
1. Justification of the subject	1
2. Scope of the study	7
3. Structure of the study	8
Part 1: IP Box Regimes of Selected European Countries	11
1. Introduction	11
2. Belgium	11
3. Luxembourg	48
4. Netherlands	82
5. Conclusion	113
Part 2: Impact of European and International Principles on IP Box Regimes	119
1. Introduction	119
2. State aid	120
3. Code of Conduct	165
4. Conclusion	177
Part 3: Liechtenstein IP Box Regime	181
1. Introduction	181
2. Commentary	181

3. Areas for potential improvement	253
4. Conclusion	296
Part 4: Swiss IP Box Regimes	299
1. Introduction	299
2. Nidwalden IP box regime	299
3. Federal model of an IP box regime	317
4. Conclusion	337
Part 5: Summary	343
Index	347