

Julia Ruth-Maria Wetzel

Human Rights in Transnational Business

Translating Human Rights Obligations
into Compliance Procedures

 Springer

Contents

1	Introduction	1
1.1	Aim and Argument	5
1.2	Vision	6
	References	8
2	Nigeria, Shell and the Ogoni People	11
2.1	The Movement for the Survival of the Ogoni People	12
2.2	Evaluation of Shells Involvement in Nigeria Until 1995	15
	References	17
3	The Alien Tort Statute	19
3.1	Landmark Decisions	20
3.1.1	Filartiga v. Pena-Irala	20
3.1.1.1	Violation of the Law of Nations	21
3.1.1.2	No Exercise of Federal Jurisdiction	22
3.1.1.3	Course of Consideration	23
3.1.1.4	Critical Reception of Filartiga	23
3.1.2	Sosa v. Alvarez-Machain	26
3.1.2.1	Nature of the Alien Tort Statute	26
3.1.2.2	Interaction Between the Alien Tort Statute and the Law of Nations	27
3.1.2.3	The Need for Judicial Caution When Considering Individual ATS Claims	29
3.1.2.4	Sufficiency of Alvarez Claims for the Supreme Court Standard	30
3.1.2.5	Concurring Opinion of Justice Scalia	32
3.1.2.6	Reception of Sosa	33
3.2	Corporate ATS Suits Prior to <i>Kiobel</i> Involving Oil Companies	35
3.2.1	Presbyterian Church of Sudan v. Talisman Energy Inc.	36
3.2.2	Doe v. Exxon Mobil Corp.	37
3.2.3	Bowoto v. Chevron Corp.	38

3.3	Kiobel v. Royal Dutch Petroleum	41
3.3.1	Submission to the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York	41
3.3.1.1	Secondary Liability Claims	42
3.3.1.2	The Alleged Violations	42
3.3.1.3	The Interlocutory Appeal 28 U.S.C. § 1292	43
3.3.2	The Appeal to the United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit	43
3.3.2.1	Precedence of International Law Over Domestic Law	44
3.3.2.2	Implications of Applying International Law to the Case	44
3.3.2.2.1	The Nuremberg Legacy 1945–1946	45
3.3.2.2.2	The International Criminal Tribunal for the Former Yugoslavia (ICTY) and the International Criminal Tribunal for Rwanda (ICTR)	46
3.3.2.2.3	The Rome Statute	47
3.3.2.2.4	Absence of Concrete Legal Obligations	48
3.3.2.3	Judge Leval’s Concurring Opinion	48
3.3.2.3.1	The Aim of International Law	49
3.3.2.3.2	No Aiding and Abetting Liability	50
3.3.3	The Appeal to the Supreme Court of the United States	50
3.3.3.1	The Presumption Against Extraterritorial Application	51
3.3.3.1.1	Intent of the ATS	53
3.3.3.1.2	Unique Hospitable Forum	53
3.3.3.2	Concurring Opinion of Justices Breyer, Ginsburg, Sotomayor and Kagan	55
3.3.3.2.1	The Underlying Substantive Grasp	56
3.3.3.2.2	Applying the New Model to Kiobel	58
3.3.4	Evaluation	58
3.3.4.1	Negative Response	59
3.3.4.2	Positive Reception	60
3.3.4.3	Mixed Review	60
3.3.4.4	The Great Flaw That Is Kiobel	62
3.3.4.4.1	The Text and Tradition Approach	62
3.3.4.4.2	The Constitution as a Living Instrument	66
3.3.4.4.3	Final Remarks	68
	References	70

4	Corporations and Human Rights	75
4.1	The Philosophical Roots of Human Rights	75
4.2	The Legal Perception of Human Rights	77
4.2.1	Modern Legal Interpretation	79
4.2.2	The Corporate Debate	81
4.3	The Absence of Corporate Responsibility for Human Rights	82
4.3.1	Milton Friedman and the Corporation	82
4.3.2	No Rule of Law Under Customary International Law	83
4.3.2.1	General State Practice	84
4.3.2.2	Opinion Iuris	85
4.3.2.3	Sufficiency of Corporate Human Rights Liability for Customary International Law	85
4.3.3	Harm to Foreign Investment	87
4.3.4	Framing the Corporation	89
4.4	The Existence of Corporate Human Rights Responsibility	92
4.4.1	Criticism of Friedman	93
4.4.1.1	Social Responsibility Undermines the Free Market	94
4.4.1.2	Shareholder Ownership Of TNCs	94
4.4.1.3	TNCs Cannot Assume Social Responsibility	95
4.4.2	Corporations as Organs of Society	96
4.5	Silent Complicity as a Moral and Legal Duty	97
	References	102
5	Targeting Corporate Human Rights Conduct from a Multinational Perspective	105
5.1	OECD Guidelines	106
5.1.1	Chapter IV: Human Rights	107
5.1.1.1	Principle 1: Respect for Human Rights	108
5.1.1.2	Principle 2: Avoiding to Cause or to Contribute to Violations	108
5.1.1.3	Principle 3: Seeking Ways to Prevent Adverse Human Rights Impacts	108
5.1.1.4	Principle 4: Policy Commitment to Human Rights	109
5.1.1.5	Principle 5: Due Diligence	109
5.1.1.6	Principle 6: Enable Remediation	110
5.1.2	Implementation of the OECD Guidelines	110
5.1.2.1	The National Contact Points	111
5.1.2.2	The Investment Committee	112
5.1.3	Implementation Procedures	113
5.1.3.1	Visibility	113
5.1.3.2	Accessibility	113
5.1.3.3	Transparency	113
5.1.3.4	Accountability	114

	5.1.3.5	Impartiality	114
	5.1.3.6	Predictability	114
	5.1.3.7	Equitability	114
	5.1.3.8	Compatibility	115
	5.1.4	Critical Assessment of the OECD Guidelines	115
5.2		ILO Tripartite Declaration	117
	5.2.1	General Principles	118
	5.2.2	Critical Assessment of the ILO Tripartite Declaration as a Tool for Human Rights Implementation for Corporations	119
5.3		Human Rights and Business in Europe	120
	5.3.1	The Council of Europe	120
	5.3.1.1	Draft Preliminary Study on Corporate Social Responsibility in the Field of Human Rights	120
	5.3.1.2	Feasibility Study on Corporate Social Responsibility in the Field of Human Rights	124
	5.3.1.2.1	Remedy Gaps	124
	5.3.1.2.2	Measures to Raise Awareness	125
	5.3.1.2.3	Aftermath	125
	5.3.2	The EU Strategy for Corporate Social Responsibility	126
	5.3.3	Achievements of the European Scheme	129
5.4		The Voluntary Principles on Security and Human Rights	131
	5.4.1	Background	132
	5.4.2	Multi-Stakeholder Character	132
	5.4.3	Risk Assessment	133
	5.4.3.1	Identification of Risks	134
	5.4.3.2	Potential for Violence	134
	5.4.3.3	Human Rights Records	134
	5.4.3.4	Rule of Law	134
	5.4.3.5	Conflict Analysis	135
	5.4.4	Interactions Between Companies and Public Security	135
	5.4.4.1	Security Arrangements	135
	5.4.4.2	Deployment and Conduct	136
	5.4.4.3	Consultation and Advice	136
	5.4.4.4	Responses to Human Rights Abuses	137
	5.4.4.5	Interactions Between Companies and Private Security	137
	5.4.5	Implementation Aid for Corporations on the Voluntary Principles	138
	5.4.5.1	Sharing Best Practices and Lessons Learnt to Strengthen Internal Policies	138
	5.4.5.1.1	Prioritizing Resources by Risk Determination	138
	5.4.5.1.2	Developing Risk Mitigation Strategies	138

	5.4.5.1.3	Adopting Assessment Procedures to Improve Progress	139
	5.4.5.2	Multi-Stakeholder Collaborative Problem Solving	139
	5.4.6	Practical Implementation of the Voluntary Principles: BP and Chevron Case Study	139
	5.4.6.1	BP	140
	5.4.6.2	Chevron	141
	5.4.7	Strengths and Weakness of the Voluntary Principles	143
	References		144
6		Business and Human Rights at the UN	149
6.1		UN Global Compact	149
6.1.1		The Global Compact Management Model	150
6.1.2		Principle 1: Business Should Support and Respect Human Rights	151
6.1.2.1		Practical Implications	152
6.1.2.2		Respect for Human Rights	152
6.1.2.3		Determining the Scope of Responsibility	153
6.1.2.4		Human Rights Due Diligence	154
	6.1.2.4.1	Assessment	154
	6.1.2.4.2	Integration	154
	6.1.2.4.3	Taking Action	155
	6.1.2.4.4	Tracking Performance	155
	6.1.2.4.5	Communication	155
6.1.2.5		Supporting Human Rights in the Business Context	155
6.1.3		Principle 2: Businesses Should Make Sure They Are Not Complicit in Human Rights Abuses	156
6.1.4		Contribution of the Global Compact to the UN Human Rights and Business Agenda	157
6.2		The UN “Protect, Respect and Remedy” Framework and the UN Guiding Principles	159
6.2.1		The Interim Report of 2006	160
	6.2.1.1	Globalization	161
	6.2.1.2	Correlating the Abuses	162
	6.2.1.3	The Existing Responses	163
	6.2.1.4	The Failure of the Norms on the Responsibilities of Transnational Corporations	164
6.2.2		UN Survey on the Human Rights Policies and Management Practices of Fortune Global 500 Firms	166
	6.2.2.1	Policy Results	166
	6.2.2.2	The Rights Concerned	167
	6.2.2.3	International Instruments Consulted	167
	6.2.2.4	Stakeholder Engagement	168
	6.2.2.5	Accountability	168
	6.2.2.6	Conclusion	168

6.2.3	The “Protect, Respect and Remedy” Framework	169
6.2.3.1	“Protect, Respect and Remedy”	170
6.2.3.2	The State Duty to Protect	170
6.2.3.3	The Corporate Responsibility to Respect	172
6.2.3.3.1	The Sphere of Influence	173
6.2.3.3.2	Due Diligence	174
6.2.3.3.2.1	Understanding the Context	174
6.2.3.3.2.2	Analyzing the Companies Activities	174
6.2.3.3.2.3	Assessing Corporate Relationships	175
6.2.3.3.2.4	Policy Requirements	175
6.2.3.3.3	Complicity	176
6.2.3.3.3.1	Act or Omission Having a Substantial Effect	176
6.2.3.3.3.2	Knowledge of the Intentions of the Principal Perpetrator	178
6.2.3.4	Remedy	179
6.2.3.4.1	Judicial Mechanisms	180
6.2.3.4.2	Non-judicial Mechanisms	180
6.2.3.4.3	Non-state Mechanisms	181
6.2.3.4.4	Multi-Stakeholder Initiatives	181
6.2.3.4.5	The Patchwork Problem	182
6.2.3.5	Conclusion	182
6.2.4	The Guiding Principles	183
6.2.4.1	The State Duty to Protect Human Rights	183
6.2.4.1.1	Operational Principles	184
6.2.4.1.2	State-Business Nexus	184
6.2.4.1.3	Supporting Business Respect for Human Rights in Conflict-Affected Areas	185
6.2.4.1.4	Policy Coherence	186
6.2.4.2	The Corporate Responsibility to Respect	187
6.2.4.2.1	Human Rights Due Diligence	188
6.2.4.2.2	Remediation	190
6.2.4.3	Access to Remedy	191
6.2.4.3.1	State-Based Judicial Mechanisms	191
6.2.4.3.2	State-Based Non-judicial Mechanisms	192
6.2.4.3.3	Non-state Based Mechanisms	192
6.2.5	Efficiency of the UN Guiding Principles in Addressing Corporate Human Rights Concerns	193

6.3	The Ruggie-Deva-Bilchitz Reflection: The Yellow Brick Road to Accountability?	198
	References	200
7	Translating Human Rights into an Enforceable Business Compliance Strategy	205
7.1	Why Corporate Social Irresponsibility Harms Businesses and Human Rights Equally	205
7.2	How Human Rights Violations Affect Corporate Reputation and Brand Image	206
7.2.1	The Value of Corporate Image and Reputation	206
7.2.2	How Corporate Social Irresponsibility Influences Corporate Reputation	207
7.2.3	How Corporate Social Irresponsibility Impacted Multinational Oil Corporations in Nigeria	209
7.3	Why Corporations Should Behave Socially Responsible	210
7.4	How Human Rights Can Be Translated into an Effective Business Strategy Targeting Corporate Human Rights Compliance	213
7.4.1	Why a Human Rights Strategy Is Necessary	213
7.4.2	Building an Operative Human Rights Compliance Policy	218
7.4.2.1	Identification of Key Human Rights Concerns	218
7.4.2.2	Existing and Potential Human Rights Risks	219
7.4.2.3	Managing Human Rights Risks and Impacts	219
7.4.2.4	Binding Human Rights Responsibilities	220
7.4.2.5	Sanctioning Mechanisms	220
7.4.3	How Human Rights Have Been Translated into Business Policies in Practice	221
7.4.3.1	Yahoo: Winning with Integrity	221
7.4.3.2	Coca-Cola: Acting with Integrity	223
7.4.3.3	The Body Shop: Striving To Be a Force for Good	226
7.4.3.4	Shell: Honesty, Integrity, Respect	227
7.4.4	Improving the Existing Initiatives Through Accountability and Implementation Mechanisms	229
7.4.4.1	Certifiable Human Rights Quality Management	229
7.4.4.1.1	ISO 9000	230
7.4.4.1.2	ISO 26000	231
7.4.4.1.3	Why Human Rights Quality Management Works	233
7.4.4.2	Global Arbitration Panel	234
7.4.4.2.1	Operative Principles	234
7.4.4.2.2	Effectiveness of the Global Arbitration Panel	235

7.4.4.3	State Responsibility for Human Rights Compliance of Corporate Entities Within Their Jurisdiction . . .	238
7.4.4.3.1	The UN Model	238
7.4.4.3.2	The Swiss Model	239
7.4.4.3.3	The ECHR Model	240
7.4.4.3.4	Why a State Responsibility Solution Is Necessary	244
7.4.4.4	The Need for a Polycentric Approach to Enforcement	244
7.5	Bridging the Gap Between Business and Human Rights	245
7.5.1	The Treaty Option	246
7.5.1.1	Drafting and Implementing Treaties	246
7.5.1.2	The Potential Business and Human Rights Treaty	247
7.5.1.3	Benefits of a Treaty	248
7.5.1.4	Drawbacks	249
7.5.2	Implementation Problems	250
7.5.3	The Interim Solution	251
	References	254
8	Conclusion: The Future of Human Rights Compliance	261
	References	265