
## The Need for Critical Thinking and the Scientific Method

Finlay MacRitchie



Taylor & Francis Group, an Informa business

## Contents

| Preface  | xi |
|--|----|
| About the author   |    |
|  |    |
| Chapter 1 Introduction   | 1  |
| Part 1: Common misunderstandings of the scientific method      | 1  |
| The topic of debate must be defined unambiguously              |    |
| A true scientist must be detached                              |    |
| A scientific theory can never be proven beyond doubt           | 2  |
| Separate observations that are consistent with a theory        |    |
| do not correspond to additional confirmation                   | 3  |
| Refutability as a criterion for evaluating a scientific theory | 3  |
| Consensus is not a criterion for the validity of a theory      | 4  |
| The illusion of modeling                                       | 4  |
| Part 2: General introduction                                   |    |
| The range of capacity for critical thinking                    | 5  |
| Distinction between science and pseudoscience                  |    |
| Transition from dogmatic to critical thinking                  | 7  |
| How this relates to anthropogenic global warming               | 8  |
| Faults in application of the scientific method                 | 8  |
| Absence of scientific thinking in political debate             |    |
| Summarizing thoughts   | 10 |
| Charatan C. The releast Connection is                          |    |
| Chapter 2 The scientific method                                |    |
| The problem of demarcation                                     |    |
| Notable theories of the early twentieth century                | 14 |
| Comparisons of the different theories                          |    |
| Guidelines for evaluating theories                             |    |
| Refutability as the criterion for demarcation                  |    |
| Probability and informative content                            |    |
| Conjectures and refutations                                    |    |
| Criteria for evaluating a theory                               |    |
| The need for science to grow (or to progress)                  | 18 |

| Requirements for progress of science                           | 19 |
|--|----|
| Dangers to progress  | 19 |
| References   | 20 |
|  |    |
| Chapter 3 How the lack of scientific input impacts             |    |
| research organizations   | 21 |
| Australian ministers in charge of science portfolio            | 21 |
| The contribution of the Honorable R.G. Casey                   |    |
| A short history of CSIRO after its formation                   |    |
| Changes resulting from reviews of CSIRO                        |    |
| How scientific knowledge is acquired                           | 24 |
| How managerial control purports to drive science               |    |
| Comparison of the two approaches                               | 26 |
| How has the CSIRO fared?                                       | 27 |
| The requirement to obtain a proportion of the research funding | 27 |
| Effect of these changes on the workplace environment           |    |
| The climate change controversy                                 |    |
| The lack of scientific thinking in the debate                  | 29 |
| Errors in application of science                               | 29 |
| Balanced debate can still occur                                | 30 |
| Expansion of the managerial approach                           |    |
| The present working environment                                | 31 |
| The Victims of CSIRO blog site                                 | 31 |
| References   | 32 |
|  |    |
| Chapter 4 How could this have happened?                        | 33 |
| A career in science  | 33 |
| Effect of the requirement to procure funding                   | 34 |
| Why do not more scientists enter politics?                     | 34 |
| Are scientists different?                                      |    |
| How science of excellence can still be achieved                | 36 |
| How could it have happened?                                    | 37 |
| Making decisions without the basic knowledge                   |    |
| How important scientific discoveries are made                  | 38 |
| Effect of organizational changes on research                   |    |
| Where angels fear to tread                                     |    |
| Where was the scientific leadership?                           |    |
| Why do toxic work environments form in science organizations?  |    |
| How do toxic workplace environments arise?                     |    |
| The control freak  |    |
| The narcissist   |    |
| The serial bully   |    |
| The psychopath   |    |
| What is a psychopath?  | 43 |
| <b>*</b> * *   |    |

| Characteristics of psychopaths                            | 43 |
|---|----|
| How are psychopaths identified?                           |    |
| The Hare checklist  | 44 |
| An example of psychopathic behavior                       | 45 |
| The legal argument  |    |
| Psychopaths in science                                    | 46 |
| What is the origin of psychopathic behavior?              | 47 |
| Corporate psychopaths                                     | 47 |
| How do psychopaths attain high positions in corporations? | 48 |
| Coping with psychopathic behavior                         | 48 |
| How it happened   |    |
| Notes   |    |
| References  |    |
|   |    |
| Chapter 5 How the media influences public thinking        | 53 |
| The myth of the lemmings                                  |    |
| The invasion of Iraq in 2003                              | 54 |
| The Chilean military coup of 1973                         | 55 |
| How can we decide what is the truth?                      | 56 |
| Contrast between submissions to scientific journals       |    |
| and to the mainstream press                               | 57 |
| Issues that seem to be ignored by the mainstream media    |    |
| Agenda 21 or sustainable development                      |    |
| British immigration since early 1980s                     |    |
| The opinion writers                                       |    |
| How a democratic system can break down                    |    |
| The rise of social media                                  |    |
| How to think for yourself                                 |    |
| References  |    |
| TCICICICES  |    |
| Chapter 6 Dangers to progress in science                  | 65 |
| Dangers to progress of science suggested by Popper        |    |
| Obsession with number of publications                     |    |
| Quantity and quality in scientific publications           |    |
| Citations and impact factors for measuring merit          |    |
| Lack of citations to publications                         |    |
| An excess of poor-quality research                        |    |
| Negative flow-on effects from mediocre research           | 70 |
| Not more scientists but more good scientists              |    |
| Effects of external and internal forces                   |    |
| Misconduct in science                                     |    |
| More subtle forms of unethical behavior.                  |    |
| Cases   |    |
| Conclusions from these cases                              |    |
| CULCIUDIOID IIUII UICOC CUOCO                             |    |

| Fraud in science may be more prevalent than thought                  | 74 |
|--|----|
| Misallocation of credit  | 75 |
| Maintaining the integrity of science                                 | 75 |
| Authoritarianism   | 75 |
| The earth as the center of the universe                              | 76 |
| Lysenkoism   |    |
| Managerialism  | 77 |
| References   | 78 |
|  |    |
| Chapter 7 Applying scientific thinking to some current               |    |
| controversies  | 79 |
| Climate change   | 79 |
| Observations consistent with previous ones cannot be claimed         |    |
| as confirmations   |    |
| The weakness of modeling   |    |
| The medieval warm period   | 81 |
| The Great Barrier Reef   | 82 |
| Effects of acidification of the ocean                                |    |
| The chemistry of carbon dioxide dissolution                          |    |
| A critical examination is needed of the arguments for and against    |    |
| The saturated fat controversy  | 85 |
| Brief summary of the research on the effects of saturated fats       | 85 |
| Problems for food processors   |    |
| The problem of trans fats  | 86 |
| Replacement of trans fats  | 87 |
| The role of cholesterol  | 87 |
| LDL and HDL cholesterol  | 87 |
| A critical examination of the saturated fat-heart disease hypothesis | 88 |
| Epidemiological research versus clinical studies                     | 88 |
| Selection bias   |    |
| Encroachment of bureaucracy  | 89 |
| Status of the hypothesis   |    |
| The advent of gluten-free foods                                      | 90 |
| Celiac disease and gluten intolerance                                |    |
| The need for gluten-free foods                                       | 90 |
| Explosion of gluten-free foods                                       |    |
| The downside of gluten-free diets                                    |    |
| Genetic engineering  |    |
| The possibilities for genetic manipulation                           |    |
| Gene drives  |    |
| CRISPR   |    |
| Weighing the risks   | 93 |

| What are the risks?  | 94   |
|--|--|
| A critical examination of the controversy  | 95   |
| References   |  |
|  |  |
| Chapter 8 Implementing scientific thinking and critical analy  |  |
| Research scientists in federal parliament  | 98   |
| How to introduce more scientific thinking  | 100  |
| Changes needed in the education system   | 100  |
| The role of wonder in childhood education  | 101  |
| Teaching with magic  | 102  |
| Creativity   |  |
| Introducing critical analysis  | 103  |
| Some simple examples   | 104  |
| Deflection of an issue   | 105  |
| Putting labels on opponents  | 106  |
| The straw man argument   | 106  |
| Could have or might have   | 106  |
| The prism of ideology  | 107  |
| The influence of cultural background on the capacity   |  |
| The influence of cultural background on the capacity for scientific thinking   | 107  |
| References   | 107  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
| Chapter 9 Bringing it together   | 109  |
| Scientific/critical thinking   | 109  |
| Scientific/critical thinking  The scientific/critical versus the dogmatic approach   | 109<br>110   |
| Scientific/critical thinking  The scientific/critical versus the dogmatic approach  The diminished role of scientists  | 109<br>110<br>111                                    |
| Scientific/critical thinking  The scientific/critical versus the dogmatic approach  The diminished role of scientists  The effects on scientific excellence  | 109<br>110<br>111<br>112                             |
| Scientific/critical thinking  The scientific/critical versus the dogmatic approach  The diminished role of scientists  The effects on scientific excellence  How scientific organizations can be infiltrated by destroyers   | 109<br>110<br>111<br>112<br>113                      |
| Scientific/critical thinking  The scientific/critical versus the dogmatic approach  The diminished role of scientists  The effects on scientific excellence  How scientific organizations can be infiltrated by destroyers  The pros and cons of democracies   | 109<br>110<br>111<br>112<br>113                      |
| Scientific/critical thinking   | 109<br>110<br>111<br>112<br>113                      |
| Scientific/critical thinking The scientific/critical versus the dogmatic approach The diminished role of scientists The effects on scientific excellence How scientific organizations can be infiltrated by destroyers The pros and cons of democracies How can we determine if a proposed new law is better than the law it replaces? | 109<br>110<br>111<br>112<br>113<br>113               |
| Scientific/critical thinking   | 109<br>110<br>111<br>112<br>113<br>113               |
| Scientific/critical thinking   | 109<br>110<br>111<br>112<br>113<br>113<br>114<br>114 |
| Scientific/critical thinking   | 109110111113113114114116                             |
| Scientific/critical thinking   | 109110112113113114114116116                          |
| Scientific/critical thinking   | 109110112113114114116117                             |
| Scientific/critical thinking   | 109110112113114116116117117                          |
| Scientific/critical thinking   | 109111112113114116116117117118                       |
| Scientific/critical thinking   | 109110111113113114116116117117118                    |
| Scientific/critical thinking   | 109110111113114114116117118118                       |
| Scientific/critical thinking   | 109110111113113114116116117118118118                 |

| Education as the means to raise the standard of thinking | 123   |
|--|-------|
| Dangers posed by psychopaths                             |       |
| References   |       |
|  | 40.00 |
| Chapter 10 Where will the future take us?                |       |
| What do we learn from history?                           | 127   |
| What trends do we see?                                   |       |
| How can these trends be reversed?                        | 129   |
| How can the standard of debate be improved?              |       |
| Notes  |       |
| References   |       |
|  |       |
| Index  | 131   |