

João Ernesto Christófolo

Solving Antinomies
Between Peremptory
Norms in Public
International Law



UNIVERSITÉ
DE GENÈVE
FACULTÉ DE DROIT

Schulthess
ÉDITIONS ROMANDES

§ 2016

Contents

Table of cases.....ix

Abbreviations.....xv

Introduction 1

Part I - The Problem of Antinomy in Law..... 5

Chapter I - Antinomy in Law 7

 Section I - Identifying legal antinomies 8

 Section II - Conflict of norms in public international law 10

 The definition of conflict in international law 13

Chapter II - Solving legal antinomies in public international law..... 16

 Section I - Interpretation as a means of harmonizing conflicting norms 17

 Section II - Meta-rules for solving legal antinomy in public international law 24

 Section III - Insufficiency of traditional methods (meta-rules): the problem of the
 “hard cases” 38

 Teleological-evaluative justification – one norm prevails 43

 Weighing and balancing 46

 Open discretion for the interpreter? 49

 Section IV - The problem of “hard cases” in international law 51

 Using “weighing and balancing” techniques for solving “hard cases” in international law 52

 Conclusion 56

Part II - *Ius cogens* norms in public international law: Theories and Definition 59

Chapter I - Material theories 64

 Section I - Natural Law Theories 65

 A critical evaluation of Natural Law theories 69

 Section II - Public Policy Theories 70

 Critical evaluation of public policy theories 77

 Section III - *Ius cogens* as the expression of an institutionalized international
 community 87

 Section IV - *Ius cogens* as a material representation of *ius publicum* 90

 Section V - Concluding remarks on Material theories 93

Chapter II - Hierarchical theories on *ius cogens* 94

 Section I - The UN Charter and *ius cogens* 96

 Section II - Concluding remarks on hierarchical theories 100

Chapter III - Horizontal theories 103

 Section I - *Ius cogens* as a rule of horizontal collision 107

 Section II - Consensual theories 111

 Section III - Customary theories 115

 Section IV - *Ius cogens* as the general principles of law and the principles of
 international law 118

Section V - Concluding remarks on horizontal theories	121
Chapter IV - Definition of <i>ius cogens</i>.....	122
Section I - The question of the sources of <i>ius cogens</i>	123
Section II - Non-derogability	125
Section III - Imperativeness	129
Section IV - Universality	134
Section V - Determination of peremptory norms.....	137
State practice	140
Judicial determination	143
Writings of scholars	146
Section VI - Concluding remarks on the definition of <i>ius cogens</i>	147
Part III - The Material Content of <i>Ius Cogens</i>.....	149
Chapter I - <i>Ius cogens</i> and the use of force	153
Section I - Historical development	153
The UN Charter.....	156
Section II - The prohibition of the use force as a norm of <i>ius cogens</i>	161
Effects of the <i>ius cogens</i> nature of the prohibition of the use of force	165
The peremptory scope of the prohibition of the use of force	169
Peaceful settlement of disputes: does it possess <i>ius cogens</i> nature?	175
Chapter II - The principle of Self-Determination	178
Section I - Historical development and the positive nature of the right to self- determination	178
The normative content of the principle of self-determination	182
Section II - The peremptory nature of the principle of self-determination	186
The peremptory scope of the principle of self-determination.....	190
Chapter III - <i>Ius cogens</i> and human rights.....	194
Section I - The peremptory nature of human rights norms.....	197
Which human rights have a <i>ius cogens</i> nature?	199
Section II - The Prohibition of Torture	206
The peremptory scope of the prohibition of torture.....	209
Section III - The Prohibition of Genocide	212
Section IV - The Prohibition of Slavery, the slave trade, and slavery-like practices..	216
Section V - The Prohibition of Crimes against humanity.....	219
Section VI - The Prohibition of Systemic Discrimination.....	222
Section VII - The Prohibition of the most serious violations of International Humanitarian Law (IHL)	227
The peremptory nature of International Humanitarian Law (IHL)	228
The peremptory scope of IHL	233
Part IV - Antinomy Between Norms of <i>Ius Cogens</i>	239
Chapter I - Identifying a conflict between peremptory norms.....	240
Chapter II - The insufficiency of traditional meta-rules.....	243

Chapter III - Antinomy between peremptory norms: a “hard case” in international law?.....	246
A typology of antinomies between peremptory norms	248
Chapter IV - Conclusion.....	251
Part V - Collision between the prohibition of the use of force and the prohibition of the most serious human rights violations - the problem of humanitarian intervention.....	253
Chapter I - A lingering question: Is unilateral humanitarian intervention legally compatible with the peremptory regime on the use of force?	257
Section I - A brief historical overview of doctrine.....	258
Section II - Humanitarian intervention as <i>lex posterior</i> ?	261
Section III - Humanitarian intervention and <i>ius cogens</i> : the perspective of the UN Charter.....	264
Section IV - Humanitarian intervention as <i>lex specialis</i> ?.....	268
Section V - Conclusion on unilateral humanitarian intervention.....	269
Section VI - The concept of “responsibility to protect”	271
Chapter II - The accumulative process of human rights norms of a peremptory character and the prohibition of the use of force	278
Section I - Accumulation as a result of legal interpretation.....	279
Section II - A real or an apparent antinomy?.....	283
Chapter III - Solving the conflict through legal interpretation	287
Section I - Gross and systematic human rights violations as threats to international peace and security	289
Section II - A coherent operationalization of the concept of “responsibility to protect” as a result of weighing and balancing	292
Progressive approach: a requirement stemming from the application of the principles of peaceful settlement of disputes and international cooperation	293
Designing a proper mandate as a guarantee of respect for international law	295
Chapter VI - Conclusion.....	301
Part VI - Antinomy between the prohibition of the use of force and self-determination: the case of a peace agreement between Israel and Palestine	305
Chapter I - The illegal character of the Israeli occupation of Palestinian territories. 308	
Section I - Illegal occupation of foreign territories through the use of force - an overview.....	308
Section II - The Israeli occupation of Palestinian territories -historical overview	311
Chapter II - Consent to international agreements as an exercise of the right to self-determination by non-self-governing territories	317
Section I - Could Palestinian consent preclude the effects of the prohibition of territorial acquisition through the use of force?.....	318
Section II - Consent of non-self-governing peoples and self-determination.....	319

Chapter III - Where the conflict lies: the real antinomy between the prohibition of territorial acquisition by the use of force (prohibition) and the principle of self-determination (permission) as a "hard case" of public international law 322

 Section I - Determining whether there is an antinomy 322

 Section II - A "hard case" in international law? 323

 Section III - Balancing and weighing between the peremptory norms on the prohibition of the use of force and the right to self-determination..... 324

 An end to the conflict 327

 Borders as close as possible to those of 1967..... 328

 Agreement subject to the consultation of the Palestinian people 329

 Support of the organized international community 331

 Conclusion 334

Conclusion 335

Bibliography..... 339