# The Processing of Events

Oliver Bott
University of Tübingen

John Benjamins Publishing Company
Amsterdam / Philadelphia

# Table of contents


List of tables xi
List of figures xiii
Abbreviations and symbols xv
Acknowledgments xvii

CHAPTER 1
Introduction 1

CHAPTER 2
Events in cognitive psychology and linguistics 3
2.1 Events from a psychological perspective 3
2.1.1 Experiments on event perception and reporting 5
2.1.2 The importance of planning in narrating and remembering events 7
2.1.3 A brief summary 8
2.2 Events in linguistics 9
2.2.1 A calculus of events (Hamm & van Lambalgen (2005)) 11
2.2.2 The representation of Aktionsart 16
2.2.3 The classification of Aktionsart 24
2.2.4 The composition of Aktionsart 26
2.2.5 Hierarchical event structures 29
2.2.6 A glimpse at the computational machinery 31
2.3 Coercion 33
2.3.1 Semantic theories on coercion 34
2.3.2 Operator-based accounts 38
2.3.3 Underspecification 42
2.3.4 Planning accounts 47
2.3.5 A short summary 50
2.3.6 Psycholinguistic studies on coercion 50
2.3.7 Studies on complement coercion 51
2.3.8 Studies on aspectual coercion 54
2.4 Summary and conclusions 59

CHAPTER 3
**Hypotheses and predictions** 61
3.1 A general model of aspectual interpretation 61
3.1.1 What factors guide lexical aspectual access? 64
3.1.2 What kinds of repair processes are there? 66
3.1.3 What is the processing domain for aspectual coercion? 69
3.1.4 How is semantic reanalysis carried out in the brain? 71
3.2 Semantic derivations for different kinds of coercion 74
3.2.1 Subtractive coercion 75
3.2.2 Absract type shift 84
3.2.3 Additive coercion 87
3.3 Coercion at the offline/online-boundary: Experiment 1 89
3.3.1 Method 92
3.3.2 Results 95
3.3.3 Discussion 97
3.4 Summary and conclusion 98

CHAPTER 4
**The access to lexical aspectual information** 101
4.1 Ambiguity resolution in the aspectual domain 101
4.2 Factors that play a role in syntax 104
4.2.1 Frequency information in syntactic disambiguation 104
4.2.2 Context information in syntactic disambiguation 105
4.3 Applying frequency and context information to aspectual ambiguity 106
4.3.1 The probabilistic parsing hypothesis 107
4.3.2 The lazy parsing hypothesis 108
4.3.3 The aspectual underspecification hypothesis 111
4.3.4 A short summary 113
4.4 The role of lexical frequency information: Experiment 2 113
4.5 Determining lexical frequencies: A corpus study 115
4.5.1 Method 115
4.5.2 Results and discussion 116
4.6 Frequency information: Evidence from reading times 118
4.6.1 Method 118
4.6.2 Results 121
4.6.3 Discussion 123
4.7 The role of context information: Experiment 3 125
4.7.1 Method 127
4.7.2 Results 129
4.7.3 Discussion 132

4.8 General discussion and conclusions 133

CHAPTER 5
**Processing different types of coercion** 135
5.1 Additive coercion: Experiment 4a 137
5.1.1 The aspectual enrichment hypothesis (AEH) 138
5.1.2 Method 139
5.1.3 Results 141
5.1.4 Discussion 143
5.2 Subtractive coercion: Experiment 5 144
5.2.1 Method 145
5.2.2 Results 147
5.2.3 Discussion 149
5.3 Abstract type shift: Experiment 6 152
5.3.1 Natural language has flexible semantic types 152
5.3.2 Abstract type shift – Difficult to perform? 154
5.3.3 The abstract type shift hypothesis (ATSH) 156
5.3.4 Method 158
5.3.5 Results 161
5.3.6 Discussion 163
5.4 General discussion and conclusions 165

CHAPTER 6
**The processing domain of Aktionsart** 167
6.1 The increment size in aspectual processing 168
6.1.1 Can the existing studies tell us anything about the domain size? 169
6.1.2 Pretesting the grammaticality of the word order variants 170
6.2 What do readers predict? A production experiment (Exp. 7) 172
6.2.1 Method 173
6.2.2 Results 176
6.2.3 Discussion 178
6.3 The bare verb as processing domain: Experiment 4b 178
6.3.1 Method 180
6.3.2 Results 181
6.3.3 Discussion 182
6.4 The VP as processing domain: Experiment 8 184
6.4.1 Method 185
6.4.2 Results 186
6.4.3 Discussion 188
6.5 Summary and conclusions 190

CHAPTER 7
**The processing of temporality in the brain** 193
7.1 EEG research in psycholinguistics 193
7.1.1 Measuring event-related brain potentials 193
7.1.2 Neurolinguistic models of sentence comprehension 200
7.2 Relevant brain studies 204
7.2.1 Brain studies on temporality in language 204
7.2.2 Brain studies on complement coercion 207
7.3 An EEG study on the processing of Aktionsart and tense (Experiment 9) 208
7.3.1 Theoretical accounts of aspectual coercion 209
7.3.2 Method 216
7.3.3 Results 220
7.3.4 Discussion 224
7.4 Summary and conclusions 230
7.4.1 A question for future research 232

CHAPTER 8
**The specified processing model of aspectual reanalysis** 235
8.1 A replication of Experiments 5 and 6: Experiment 10a/b 238
8.1.1 Materials 239
8.1.2 Pretesting the readings 240
8.2 Iterative readings: Experiment 10a and b 242
8.2.1 Method 242
8.2.2 Results 243
8.2.3 Discussion 245
8.3 Summary and conclusions 248

CHAPTER 9
**Summary and conclusions** 251
9.1 Relating the findings to formal semantic accounts of aspectual reinterpretation 251
9.1.1 Operator-based accounts 251
9.1.2 Underspecification accounts 252
9.1.3 Planning accounts 254
9.2 Predictions for psycholinguistic experiments 257
9.3 Relating the findings to psycholinguistic studies on coercion 258
9.3.1 Studies on aspectual coercion 258
9.3.2 Studies on complement coercion 260
9.4 Relating the findings to models of sentence processing 261
9.4.1 Towards an immediacy model of semantic processing 262

9.5 Open questions 265
9.5.1 Questions for further research 265
9.5.2 Issues in modeling aspectual processing 266

References 269

Appendix
A. The semantics of logic programming and its implementation by recurrent neural nets 279
A.1 Propositional logic programming 279
A.2 The construction of minimal models using neural nets 292
B. Discourse representation theory (DRT) 289
B.1 Processing a sample discourse 290
C. Target sentences in experiment 1 295
D. Lexical frequencies of verbs in Experiment 2 299
E. Target sentences in Experiment 2 301
F. Discourses in Experiment 3 307
G. Target sentences in Experiment 4 (4a and 4b) 313
H. Target sentences in Experiment 5 323
I. Target sentences in Experiment 6 327
J. Target sentences in Experiment 8 333
K. Target sentences in Experiment 9 343
L. Normed fillers 367
M. Target sentences in Experiment 10a 369
N. Target sentences in experiment 10b 377

Index 381

# List of tables


| | | |
|---|---|---|
| 2.1 | Aspectual tests | 25 |
| 2.2 | The standard Aktionsarten and their feature distribution | 28 |
| 3.1 | The compositional construction of the aspects | 78 |
| 4.1 | Sample frequency distribution for *backen* and *beladen* | 116 |
| 4.2 | Judgments in Experiment 2 | 121 |
| 4.3 | Comparisons between "*yes, makes sense*" answers in Experiment 2 | 122 |
| 4.4 | Reading times on the adverbial in Experiment 2 | 123 |
| 4.5 | Reading times on the two adverbial regions in Experiment 3 | 131 |
| 5.1 | Reading times in Experiment 4a conditionalized on judgments | 143 |
| 5.2 | End of sentence reading times in Experiment 6 | 163 |
| 6.1 | Distribution of different completion types in Experiment 7 | 177 |
| 6.2 | Judgment times in Experiment 7 | 187 |
| 7.1 | Time lapse for sample trials in Experiment 9 | 217 |
| 7.2 | Mean amplitude in voltage for coercion compared to control in late time window 900–1500 ms post participle onset | 227 |
| 8.1 | Hypotheses that have been confirmed (= ✓) or disconfirmed (= No) | 236 |
| C.1 | Items used in Experiment 1 | 295 |
| D.1 | Frequency distribution of verbs used in Experiment 2 | 299 |
| E.1 | Items used in Experiment 2 | 301 |
| F.1 | Items used in Experiment 3 | 307 |
| G.1 | Items used in Experiment 4 | 313 |
| H.1 | Items used in Experiment 5 | 323 |
| I.1 | Items used in Experiment 6 | 327 |
| J.1 | Items used in Experiment 8 | 333 |
| K.1 | "Aktionsart"-Items | 343 |
| K.2 | "Tense"-Items | 368 |
| M.1 | Items used in Experiment 10a | 369 |
| N.1 | Items used in Experiment 10b | 377 |

# List of figures


2.1. Graphic illustration of the semantic properties of the verbs walk, reach & know 27
2.2. The aspectual transition network (from Steedman, 1997) 37

3.1 A model for aspectual interpretation and reinterpretation 62
3.2 Cumulated rejection rates in Exp. 1 95
3.3 Reading times for "yes, makes sense"-responses in Exp. 1 96

4.1 The verbs ordered according to their difference scores 117
4.2 Mean percent of activity and accomplishment uses in dependence of the two aspectual classes plus 95% confidence intervals 118
4.3 Mean adverbial effects in milliseconds 122
4.4 Sensicality judgments in Experiment 3 129
4.5 Mean reading times per character in Experiment 3 130

5.1 Distribution of judgments over participants 141
5.2 Reading times phrase by phrase in Experiment 4a. 142
5.3 Judgment data in Experiment 5. 148
5.4 Mean reading times per character in Experiment 5 in the VOA order on the left-hand side and in the VAO order on the right-hand side. 149
5.5 Mean reading times per character in Experiment 5 for activities. 150
5.6 Grammaticality ratings of the experimental sentences. 160
5.7 Mean reading times in Experiment 6. 162

6.1 Mean grammaticality judgments of the three word order variants. 171
6.2 Percent "nonsense" answers in Experiment 7. 176
6.3 Sensicality judgments of Experiment 4a and of Experiment 4b. 181
6.4 Reading times per character in Experiment 4b. 182
6.5 Mean of "yes, makes sense" judgments in Experiment 8. 186
6.6 Mean reading times in Experiment 8. 188

7.1 Sample ERP experiment. 194
7.2 Semantic acceptability judgments in the ERP study. 220
7.3 Grand averages elicited by aspectual mismatch, coercion and control. 221

7.4 P600 due to aspectual mismatch. 222
7.5 Working memory LAN due to coercion. 223
7.6 Mean amplitude for the three aspectual conditions 500–900 ms post stimulus with respect to anteriority and hemisphere. 224
7.7 Grand averages of tense violations compared to control. 225
7.8 P600 due to a tense violation. 226

8.1 Mean judgments in the pretest of Experiments 10a and b. 241
8.2 Mean sensicality judgments in Experiments 10a and b. 243
8.3 Mean reading times in Experiment 10a. 244
8.4 Mean reading times in Experiment 10b. 245
8.5 The specified model of aspectual processing. 249

A.1 Truth tables for three-valued connectives. 280
A.2 Representation of the node corresponding to a proposition p. 283
A.3 Three valued negation. 284
A.4 Recurrent net representing the program {p}. 285
A.5 Recurrent net representing the program $\{p; \neg ab; p \wedge \neg ab \rightarrow q\}$. 286
A.6 Recurrent net representing the program $\{p; r; r \rightarrow ab; p \wedge \neg ab \rightarrow q\}$. 286