## **Insurance Systems** in Times of Climate Change

Insurance of buildings against natural hazards

## **Cornel Quinto**

Attorney at Law, LL.M.

## **Table of contents**

Prefa	ce	VI
List c	of abbreviations	χV
Refer	ences	XIX
List o	f materials	XXIII
Chap	ter 1: Challenge: Climate change as the starting situation Global climate change  1. IPCC—global reference for a global problem  2. The IPCC as the initial spark for the UNFCCC and the Kyoto Protocol  3. The results of the Copenhagen "climate summit"	1 1 2 2
	<ul> <li>4. What awaits us worldwide</li> <li>A. The prognoses</li> <li>B. Adaption to and mitigation of climate change as a way out?</li> </ul>	3 7 7 13
II.	Climate change in Switzerland  1. The prognoses for Switzerland until 2050  2. The ramifications	16 16 17
III.	Consequences for insurance against natural hazards  1. Effects on insurance against natural hazards  A. Climate change—no surprise for the insurance sector  B. The effects on insurance against natural hazards in detail  a) Current loss trends  b) The change in actuarial benchmark figures  C. The increasing significance of insurance against natural hazards  2. Future demands on protection against natural hazards and insurance of buildings against natural hazards	18 18 18 21 21 23 25
Chapte	er 2: Insurance systems today	29
I.	<ol> <li>Types of insurance systems</li> <li>General information</li> <li>Type 1: Statutory insurance</li> <li>Type 2: Compulsory insurance (obligatory insurance)</li> <li>Type 3: State aid and optional insurance according</li> </ol>	29 29 29 29
	to market supply	30

II.	Ge	rmany	30			
	1.	State aid and insurance option according to market supply	30			
		A. Market supply in residential buildings as well as				
		supplementary insurance according to the ZÜRS	30			
		B. Ad hoc state aid	32			
	2.	Comparison with the situation of the German statutory insurances				
		until 1994 and the Swiss statutory insurances	33			
		A. Comparison with the situation of the German statutory				
		insurances until 1994	33			
		B. Comparison with Swiss statutory insurances	33			
		a) Loss coverage and insurance density	34			
		b) Promptness of claim settlement	34			
		c) Conclusion	34			
III.	Fra	ance	35			
	1.	Compulsory insurance in the sense of obligatory extension				
		of coverage	35			
	2.	Effective defrayment of loss in the natural disasters sector by the				
		state reinsurance Caisse Centrale de Réassurance (CCR)	37			
	3.	Adaption of the French system due to moral hazard				
		and negative selection	38			
	4.	Differences to the German and the Swiss as well				
		as the Spanish system	40			
IV.	Sp	ain	41			
	1.	Statutory insurance for extraordinary risks	41			
		A. State-owned enterprise Consorcio de Compensación				
		de Seguros as risk carrier	41			
		B. Statutory insurance due to statutory coverage clause				
		and statutory surcharge	41			
	2.	Prevention of negative selection and maximum solidarity	45			
V.	Sw	Switzerland				
	1.	Overview—Coexistence of statutory insurance with integrated	46			
		loss prevention/abatement and compulsory insurance	46			
	2. The system of statutory insurance with integrated damage					
		prevention and damage abatement	46			
		A. The Kantonale Gebäudeversicherungen (KGV) as solidary				
		insurances	46			
		B. The Interkantonale Rückversicherungsverband (IRV)				
		as an enhancement to the protection policy	50			
		C. The Interkantonale Risikogemeinschaft Elementar (IRG)—				
		solidary defrayment of losses in the event of natural disasters	52			

		D.	The protection policy has proven itself in practice against natural disasters	<i>-</i> 4
		E.	"Securing and insuring"—integrating the prevention	54
		E.		
			of damage caused by natural hazards and damage abatement	
			in the statutory insurance system	56
			a) The basic idea—strengthening the insurance system	٠.
			and solidarity	56
			b) Integrated prevention of damage caused by natural hazards	58
			c) Integrated damage abatement	
		F.	Prevention of negative selection and moral hazard	62
	3.		compulsory insurance system	64
	٥.	A.		64
		A.	Compulsory insurance in the sense of obligatory extension of coverage	6.4
		B.	•	64
		D.	The Swiss insurance pool for damage caused by natural	
			hazards for balancing of risks and prevention of negative selection	"
		C.		66
		C.	The compulsory insurance system as a statutory market	47
		D	and price system in accordance with antitrust law	67
		D.	Comparison between the KGV statutory insurance system	69
			and compulsory insurance	-
VI.	US			69
	1.		rance option based on market supply	
			n state support	69
		A.	National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP)	69
		B.	Florida Hurricane Catastrophe Fund (FHCF)	72
	2.		ricane Katrina and the consequences	73
		Α.	Basic problems of charity and moral hazard and negative	
			selection	73
		B.	Lack of solidarity in the US system and what can be done	
			about it	74
		C.	The consequences of the "design defects" using the example	
			of Hurricane Katrina	75
~•	_	_		
-			rim conclusion—suitable protection system against	50
natura			in response to climate change	79
[ <b>.</b>	Ov	ervie	w of requirements	79
II.	Th	e app	ropriate response to climate change	80
	1.		e 3: State aid and optional insurance according	
			narket supply	80
			· ·	

	2.	Type 2: Compulsory insurance	80
	3.	Type 1: Statutory insurance	81
		: Legitimacy of statutory insurance against natural hazards	
using	the e	example of the KGV	83
I.	Ad	missibility under Swiss law	83
	1.	Starting situation—cantonal legal monopoly	83
	2.	Compatibility with economic freedom	84
		A. Economic freedom as part of a socially and environmentally	
		committed economic system	84
		B. No right to protection from government competition or	
		subsidiarity of government economic activity	88
		C. Statutory insurance as a monopoly that is justified in socio-	
		political and police terms	89
		a) Legal requirements for compatibility with economic	
		freedom	89
		b) Socio-political and police reasons and hence public	
		interest exist	90
		c) Sufficient legal basis	93
		d) Proportionality	93
		e) Conclusion	96
	3.	Compatibility with the antitrust law	96
	4.	Statutory insurance as a basic service provision within	
		the meaning of art. 43a par. 4 BV?	97
	5.	The SUVA as a comparable example of public service	98
	6.	Conclusion	102
	7.	Excursus: system competition as the third method?	102
		A. System competition between the KGV and private	
			102
		B. Positive effect of system competition	103
II.	EU	compatibility of the KGV statutory insurance system	104
	1.		104
	2.	Conflicting priorities: internal market—competition—common	
		_	105
	3.	Basis for EU compatibility of the statutory insurance system—	
			106
	4.	Statutory insurance as the exercise of official authority	107
		A. Starting situation: neither a substantive privatisation	
		4.41	107
		B. No application of the free movement of services in the	
			1/10

		C. The Consorcio de Compensación de Seguros solut	ion—
		qualification as tax	109
	5.	Statutory insurance as an expression of overriding	
		public interest	112
		A. The broad term of overriding public interest	112
		B. Considerable threat to the financial equilibrium of	a social
		security system as overriding public interest	113
		C. Statutory insurance against natural hazards as an e	xpression
		of overriding public interest	115
		D. No exclusion of overriding public interest by harm	onisation 116
	6.	Statutory insurance as a provision of general interest ser	vices
		and as a service of general (economic) interest	118
		A. Terms	118
		B. From Amsterdam and Nice to Lisbon—strengthen	ng
		of services of general interest	119
		C. Statutory insurance as an undertaking within the m	eaning
		of EU competition law?	121
		D. The significance of art. 14 and 106 TFEU	123
		a) The wording of the relevant provisions	123
		b) The significance of art. 14 and 106 TFEU	125
		E. Statutory insurance against natural hazards as a fac	ility
		for the provision of general interest services and as	a service
		of general economic interest	130
		F. Conclusion—no application of EU competition rule	es 130
		G. No application of the General Service Directive	130
	7.	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
		as a permissible compensation scheme according	
		to the EU law governing State aid	134
		A. Configuration as a facility for the provision of gene	
		interest services as state aid?	134
		B. Balancing of risks in a solidary insurance system of	
		not represent aid	135
		C. Conclusion	138
	8.	<b>U</b>	
		as a new EU goal	138
	9.	Final conclusion	139
Index			141