Marcus Galdia

## Legal Linguistics



## **Detailed Contents**

Abbreviations	17
Preface	19
Acknowledgments	25
Part 1: Introduction	
1.1 Preliminary Questions	27
1.1.1 Are laws made with words	
1.1.2 Interdisciplinary aspirations	28
1.1.3 Some legal-linguistic narratives	
1.1.4 Tasks of the legal science	
1.1.4.1 Systematization in law	
1.1.4.2 Other legal-linguistically relevant criteria	
1.1.4.3 Knowledge of the subject matter and knowledge of law	34
1.2 Pragmatics for Lawyers	35
1.2.1 Pragmatic preferences	
1.2.2 What is pragmatics about?	
1.2.3 Pragmatic notions	39
1.2.4 How pragmatics operates in legal contexts?	40
1.2.5 Environment of pragmatics	42
1.2.6 Pragmatic vs. semantic theories of legal language	42
1.2.7 Extention of the concept of semantics	
1.2.8 Is there more than language in law?	45
1.2.9 What conception of language to choose?	
1.2.10 What is language in terms of pragmatics?	49
1.2.11 The linguistic turn in law	51
1.3 Morals for Lawyers	52
1.3.1 Law without morals?	
1.3.2 Some examples of morals in law	53
1.3.3 What is the place of law in our life?	55
1.3.4 Why law matters although it is not omnipotent?	
1.3.5 Material and formal ethics	57
1.3.5.1 Science shows the way?	57

1.3.5.2 Establishing rules for social dialogue	58
1.3.5.3 Professional ethics for lawyers	58
1.3.5.4 Volatility of law	59
1.3.6 How to trace ethics in law	59
1.4 An Introduction Must End	60
Part 2: Language and Law	63
2.1 Foundations of Legal Linguistics	63
2.1.1 Language and Law	63
2.1.2 The name-problem	. 65
2.1.3 Legal Linguistics in the World	. 66
2.1.3.1 Tendency towards rationalization of language use in the USA	. 67
2.1.3.2 Legal terminology and discourse in France	. 68
2.1.3.3 Tendency towards formalization of legal language in Russia	. 69
2.1.3.4 Polish legal theory and Polish Legal Linguistics	. 69
2.1.3.5 Diversity of legal Chinese and understandability of	
legal Japanese	
2.1.3.6 Legal Theory and Language in Scandinavia	
2.1.3.7 Particular research	
2.1.4 Conceptions of Legal Linguistics	
2.1.4.1 Legal Linguistics as semiotics of law	
2.1.4.2 Legal Linguistics as hermeneutics of law	
2.1.4.3 Legal Linguistics as introduction into law	
2.1.4.4 Legal Linguistics as part of comparative law	
2.1.4.5. Legal Linguistics as forensic linguistics	
2.1.4.6 Legal Linguistics as interlingual comparison	.77
2.1.5 What is Legal Linguistics and what it is not?	
2.1.5.1 Legal Linguistics is not philology	
2.1.5.2 Legal Linguistics is not philosophy of law	. 79
2.1.5.3 Legal Linguistics is not sociology of law	
(and not legal anthropology)	
2.1.5.4 Legal Linguistics is not law	
2.1.5.5 Legal Linguistics is not legal doctrine	
2.1.5.6 Legal Linguistics is not logics for lawyers	. 82

2.1.6 What is Legal Linguistics, then?	
2.1.6.1 Legal-linguistic conceptual network	
2.1.7 Is Legal Linguistics really more than Legal Linguistics?	
2.1.7.1 Family resemblances	
2.1.7.2 Analytical philosophy and Legal Linguistics	
2.1.7.3 Legal Linguistics as legal pragmatics	
2.1.7.4. Legal Linguistics for linguists	
2.2 Do Lawyers Have Their Own Language?	
2.2.1 Paradoxical answers	
2.2.2. How language used in law became special	
2.2.3 Text types in law	90
2.2.3.1 Text type dependent transformations of legal language	91
2.2.3.2 Legal language transgresses law	
2.2.4 Legal language as ordinary language	92
2.2.4.1 Fictions in legal language	
2.3 Characteristic Features of Language Used in Law	
2.3.1 Descriptive models and their sense	
2.3.2 Linguistic levels	
2.3.2.1 Phonology	
2.3.2.2 Morphology	97
2.3.2.3 Syntax	
2.3.2.4 Semantics	
2.3.3 Lexicology	
2.3.3.1 Synonyms	
2.3.3.2 Definitions	
2.3.3.3 Phraseology in law	
2.3.3.4 Etymology	
2.3.4 Textual aspects	
2.3.5 Particular descriptive features	
2.3.6 Monolingual and multilingual linguistic corpus	
2.3.6.1 Multilinguality of the legal language	
2.3.7 Legal language changes	109
2.4 Legal Terminology	110
2.4.1 First contact with legal terminology	110

2.4.2 Legal concepts and legal terms       112         2.4.3 How do terms and concepts work in law?       114         2.4.4 Legal notions are a shorthand script       115         2.4.4.1 Implied terms and concepts       117         2.4.5 Classification of terms       118         2.4.6 Diachrony and synchrony in legal terminology       119         2.4.7 Scientific terms introduced into law       120         2.4.8 Verbs in law       121         2.4.8.2 The legal 'shall' and the legal 'may'       125         2.4.9.1 Works in Article 2 UCC and in CISG       122         2.4.8.2 The legal 'shall' and the legal 'may'       126         2.4.9.1 Works modernized legal terminology       126         2.4.9.2 Dissolution of terms and concepts?       129         2.4.9.3 Modernized legal terminology is not unproblematic       131         2.4.10 Legal Thesaurus       135         2.4.10.1 Practical consequences for legal dictionaries       137         2.4.10 Legal Thesaurus       141         3.1 Overcoming Terminological Boundaries       141         3.1.1 Linguistic Operations in Law       142         3.1.2 Is systematization a legal-linguistic operation?       143         3.2 Legal Speech Acts and Legal Discourse       144         3.2.1 How speech acts matter to law – from the		
2.4.4 Legal notions are a shorthand script       115         2.4.4.1 Implied terms and concepts       117         2.4.5 Classification of terms       118         2.4.6 Diachrony and synchrony in legal terminology       119         2.4.7 Scientific terms introduced into law       120         2.4.8 Verbs in law       121         2.4.8 Verbs in Article 2 UCC and in CISG       122         2.4.8.1 Verbs in Article 2 UCC and in CISG       122         2.4.8.2 The legal 'shall' and the legal 'may'       125         2.4.9 Towards modernized legal terminology       126         2.4.9.1 Updating legal terminology       128         2.4.9.2 Dissolution of terms and concepts?       129         2.4.9.3 Modernized legal terminology is not unproblematic       131         2.4.10 Legal Thesaurus       135         2.4.10 Legal Thesaurus       135         2.4.10 Legal Thesaurus       136         2.4.10 Legal Thesaurus       137         2.4.11 What does terminological Boundaries       141         3.1.1 Linguistic Operations in Law       141         3.1.2 Is systematization a legal-linguistic operation?       143         3.2 Legal Speech Acts and Legal Discourse       144         3.2.1 Following Austin       146         3.2.2.1 Two examples	2.4.2 Legal concepts and legal terms	
2.4.4.1 Implied terms and concepts       117         2.4.5 Classification of terms       118         2.4.6 Diachrony and synchrony in legal terminology       119         2.4.7 Scientific terms introduced into law       120         2.4.8 Verbs in law       121         2.4.8 Verbs in Article 2 UCC and in CISG       122         2.4.8.1 Verbs in Article 2 UCC and in CISG       122         2.4.8.2 The legal 'shall' and the legal 'may'       125         2.4.9 Towards modernized legal terminology       126         2.4.9.1 Updating legal terminology       128         2.4.9.2 Dissolution of terms and concepts?       129         2.4.9.3 Modernized legal terminology is not unproblematic       131         2.4.10 Legal Thesaurus       135         2.4.10.1 Practical consequences for legal dictionaries       137         2.4.11 What does terminology mean in terms of pragmatics?       138         Part 3: Linguistic Operations in Law       141         3.1 Overcoming Terminological Boundaries       141         3.1.1 Linguistic operations in law       142         3.1.2 Is systematization a legal-linguistic operation?       143         3.2 Legal Speech Acts and Legal Discourse       144         3.2.1 Following Austin       146         3.2.2 Classification of legal speech acts	2.4.3 How do terms and concepts work in law?	
2.4.5 Classification of terms       118         2.4.6 Diachrony and synchrony in legal terminology       119         2.4.7 Scientific terms introduced into law       120         2.4.8 Verbs in law       121         2.4.8 Verbs in Article 2 UCC and in CISG       122         2.4.8 Verbs in Article 2 UCC and in CISG       122         2.4.8.1 Verbs in Article 2 UCC and in CISG       122         2.4.8.2 The legal 'shall' and the legal 'may'       125         2.4.9 Towards modernized legal terminology       126         2.4.9.1 Updating legal terminology       128         2.4.9.2 Dissolution of terms and concepts?       129         2.4.9.3 Modernized legal terminology is not unproblematic       131         2.4.10 Legal Thesaurus       135         2.4.10.1 Practical consequences for legal dictionaries       137         2.4.11 What does terminology mean in terms of pragmatics?       138         Part 3: Linguistic Operations in Law       141         3.1 Overcoming Terminological Boundaries       141         3.1.1 Linguistic operations in law       142         3.1.2 Is systematization a legal-linguistic operation?       143         3.2 Legal Speech Acts and Legal Discourse       144         3.2.1 Following Austin       146         3.2.2 Classification of legal speech act	2.4.4 Legal notions are a shorthand script	
2.4.6 Diachrony and synchrony in legal terminology       119         2.4.7 Scientific terms introduced into law       120         2.4.8 Verbs in law       121         2.4.8 Verbs in law       121         2.4.8 Verbs in Article 2 UCC and in CISG       122         2.4.8.1 Verbs in Article 2 UCC and in CISG       122         2.4.8.2 The legal 'shall' and the legal 'may'       125         2.4.9 Towards modernized legal terminology       126         2.4.9.1 Updating legal terminology       128         2.4.9.2 Dissolution of terms and concepts?       129         2.4.9.3 Modernized legal terminology is not unproblematic       131         2.4.10 Legal Thesaurus       135         2.4.10.1 Practical consequences for legal dictionaries       137         2.4.10.1 Practical consequences for legal dictionaries       138         Part 3: Linguistic Operations in Law       141         3.1 Overcoming Terminological Boundaries       141         3.1.1 Linguistic operations in law       142         3.1.2 Is systematization a legal-linguistic operation?       143         3.2 Legal Speech Acts and Legal Discourse       144         3.2.1 How speech acts matter to law – from the pragmatic point of view       144         3.2.2.1 Two examples       149         3.2.2.2 Legal speech acts	2.4.4.1 Implied terms and concepts	
2.4.7 Scientific terms introduced into law1202.4.8 Verbs in law1212.4.8 Verbs in Article 2 UCC and in CISG1222.4.8.1 Verbs in Article 2 UCC and in CISG1222.4.8.2 The legal 'shall' and the legal 'may'1252.4.9 Towards modernized legal terminology1262.4.9.1 Updating legal terminology1282.4.9.2 Dissolution of terms and concepts?1292.4.9.3 Modernized legal terminology is not unproblematic1312.4.10 Legal Thesaurus1352.4.10.1 Practical consequences for legal dictionaries1372.4.11 What does terminology mean in terms of pragmatics?138Part 3: Linguistic Operations in Law1413.1 Overcoming Terminological Boundaries1413.1.1 Linguistic operations in law1423.1.2 Legal Speech Acts and Legal Discourse1443.2.1 How speech acts matter to law – from the pragmatic point of view1443.2.2.1 Two examples1493.2.2.2 Legal speech acts in comparison1493.2.3.3 Unguistic operations given acts in law1513.2.3.1 Institutionalized language use1523.2.3.2 Legal speech acts and institutions1523.2.3.1 Linguistic operations in law and legal speech acts154	2.4.5 Classification of terms	118
2.4.8 Verbs in law1212.4.8.1 Verbs in Article 2 UCC and in CISG1222.4.8.2 The legal 'shall' and the legal 'may'1252.4.9 Towards modernized legal terminology1262.4.9.1 Updating legal terminology1282.4.9.2 Dissolution of terms and concepts?1292.4.9.3 Modernized legal terminology is not unproblematic1312.4.10.1 Legal Thesaurus1352.4.10.1 Practical consequences for legal dictionaries1372.4.11 What does terminology mean in terms of pragmatics?138Part 3: Linguistic Operations in Law1413.1 Overcoming Terminological Boundaries1413.1.1 Linguistic operations in law1423.2.2 Legal Speech Acts and Legal Discourse1443.2.1.1 Following Austin1463.2.2.1 Two examples1493.2.2.2 Legal speech acts in comparison1493.2.3.3 Institutionalized language use1513.2.3.1 Institutionalized language use1523.2.3.2 Legal speech acts and institutions1523.2.3.1 Linguistic operations in law and legal speech acts154	2.4.6 Diachrony and synchrony in legal terminology	119
2.4.8.1 Verbs in Article 2 UCC and in CISG       122         2.4.8.2 The legal 'shall' and the legal 'may'       125         2.4.9.1 Updating legal terminology       126         2.4.9.1 Updating legal terminology       128         2.4.9.2 Dissolution of terms and concepts?       129         2.4.9.3 Modernized legal terminology is not unproblematic       131         2.4.10 Legal Thesaurus       135         2.4.10.1 Practical consequences for legal dictionaries       137         2.4.11 What does terminology mean in terms of pragmatics?       138         Part 3: Linguistic Operations in Law       141         3.1 Overcoming Terminological Boundaries       141         3.1.1 Linguistic operations in law       142         3.1.2 Is systematization a legal-linguistic operation?       143         3.2 Legal Speech Acts and Legal Discourse       144         3.2.1 How speech acts matter to law – from the pragmatic point of view       144         3.2.2 Classification of legal speech acts       148         3.2.2.1 Two examples       149         3.2.2.2 Legal speech acts in comparison       149         3.2.2.3 Mode of existence of legal speech acts       150         3.2.3 Implementing speech acts in law       151         3.2.3.1 Institutionalized language use       152 <t< td=""><td>2.4.7 Scientific terms introduced into law</td><td>120</td></t<>	2.4.7 Scientific terms introduced into law	120
2.4.8.2 The legal 'shall' and the legal 'may'       125         2.4.9 Towards modernized legal terminology       126         2.4.9.1 Updating legal terminology       128         2.4.9.2 Dissolution of terms and concepts?       129         2.4.9.3 Modernized legal terminology is not unproblematic       131         2.4.0.1 Practical legal terminology is not unproblematic       131         2.4.10 Legal Thesaurus       135         2.4.10.1 Practical consequences for legal dictionaries       137         2.4.11 What does terminology mean in terms of pragmatics?       138         Part 3: Linguistic Operations in Law       141         3.1 Overcoming Terminological Boundaries       141         3.1.1 Linguistic operations in law       142         3.1.2 Is systematization a legal-linguistic operation?       143         3.2 Legal Speech Acts and Legal Discourse       144         3.2.1 How speech acts matter to law – from the pragmatic point of view       144         3.2.2 Classification of legal speech acts       149         3.2.2.1 Two examples       149         3.2.2.2 Legal speech acts in comparison       149         3.2.3.3 Mode of existence of legal speech acts       150         3.2.3 Implementing speech acts in law       151         3.2.3.1 Institutionalized language use       152     <		
2.4.9 Towards modernized legal terminology       126         2.4.9.1 Updating legal terminology       128         2.4.9.2 Dissolution of terms and concepts?       129         2.4.9.3 Modernized legal terminology is not unproblematic       131         2.4.9.1 Practical consequences for legal dictionaries       137         2.4.10.1 Practical consequences for legal dictionaries       137         2.4.11 What does terminology mean in terms of pragmatics?       138         Part 3: Linguistic Operations in Law       141         3.1 Overcoming Terminological Boundaries       141         3.1.1 Linguistic operations in law       142         3.1.2 Is systematization a legal-linguistic operation?       143         3.2 Legal Speech Acts and Legal Discourse       144         3.2.1 How speech acts matter to law – from the pragmatic point of view       144         3.2.2 Classification of legal speech acts       148         3.2.2.1 Two examples       149         3.2.2.2 Legal speech acts in comparison       149         3.2.3.1 Institutionalized language use       152         3.2.3.2 Lega	2.4.8.1 Verbs in Article 2 UCC and in CISG	122
2.4.9.1 Updating legal terminology1282.4.9.2 Dissolution of terms and concepts?1292.4.9.3 Modernized legal terminology is not unproblematic1312.4.10 Legal Thesaurus1352.4.10.1 Practical consequences for legal dictionaries1372.4.11 What does terminology mean in terms of pragmatics?138Part 3: Linguistic Operations in Law1413.1 Overcoming Terminological Boundaries1413.1.1 Linguistic operations in law1423.1.2 Is systematization a legal-linguistic operation?1433.2 Legal Speech Acts and Legal Discourse1443.2.1 How speech acts matter to law – from the pragmatic point of view1443.2.2 Classification of legal speech acts1483.2.2.1 Two examples1493.2.2.3 Mode of existence of legal speech acts1503.2.3 Implementing speech acts in law1513.2.3.1 Institutionalized language use1523.2.3.2 Legal speech acts and institutions1523.2.3.1 Linguistic operations in law151	2.4.8.2 The legal 'shall' and the legal 'may'	125
2.4.9.2 Dissolution of terms and concepts?       129         2.4.9.3 Modernized legal terminology is not unproblematic       131         2.4.9.3 Modernized legal terminology is not unproblematic       131         2.4.10 Legal Thesaurus       135         2.4.10.1 Practical consequences for legal dictionaries       137         2.4.10.1 Practical consequences for legal dictionaries       137         2.4.11 What does terminology mean in terms of pragmatics?       138         Part 3: Linguistic Operations in Law       141         3.1 Overcoming Terminological Boundaries       141         3.1.1 Linguistic operations in law       142         3.1.2 Is systematization a legal-linguistic operation?       143         3.2 Legal Speech Acts and Legal Discourse       144         3.2.1 How speech acts matter to law – from the pragmatic point of view       144         3.2.2.1 Two examples       149         3.2.2.2 Legal speech acts in comparison       149         3.2.2.3 Mode of existence of legal speech acts       150         3.2.3.1 Institutionalized language use       152         3.2.3.2 Legal speech acts and institutions       152         3.2.3.3 Linguistic operations in law and legal speech acts       154	2.4.9 Towards modernized legal terminology	126
2.4.9.3 Modernized legal terminology is not unproblematic       131         2.4.10 Legal Thesaurus       135         2.4.10.1 Practical consequences for legal dictionaries       137         2.4.11 What does terminology mean in terms of pragmatics?       138         Part 3: Linguistic Operations in Law       141         3.1 Overcoming Terminological Boundaries       141         3.1.1 Linguistic operations in law       142         3.1.2 Is systematization a legal-linguistic operation?       143         3.2 Legal Speech Acts and Legal Discourse       144         3.2.1 How speech acts matter to law – from the pragmatic point of view       144         3.2.2 Classification of legal speech acts       148         3.2.2.1 Two examples       149         3.2.2.3 Mode of existence of legal speech acts       150         3.2.3.1 Institutionalized language use       152         3.2.3.2 Legal speech acts and institutions       152	2.4.9.1 Updating legal terminology	128
2.4.10 Legal Thesaurus       135         2.4.10.1 Practical consequences for legal dictionaries       137         2.4.11 What does terminology mean in terms of pragmatics?       138         Part 3: Linguistic Operations in Law       141         3.1 Overcoming Terminological Boundaries       141         3.1.1 Linguistic operations in law       142         3.1.2 Is systematization a legal-linguistic operation?       143         3.2 Legal Speech Acts and Legal Discourse       144         3.2.1 How speech acts matter to law – from the pragmatic point of view       144         3.2.2 Classification of legal speech acts       148         3.2.2.1 Two examples       149         3.2.2.3 Mode of existence of legal speech acts       150         3.2.3 Implementing speech acts in law       151         3.2.3.1 Institutionalized language use       152         3.2.3.2 Legal speech acts and institutions       152         3.2.3.3 Linguistic operations in law and legal speech acts       154	2.4.9.2 Dissolution of terms and concepts?	129
2.4.10.1 Practical consequences for legal dictionaries       137         2.4.11 What does terminology mean in terms of pragmatics?       138         Part 3: Linguistic Operations in Law       141         3.1 Overcoming Terminological Boundaries       141         3.1.1 Linguistic operations in law       142         3.1.2 Is systematization a legal-linguistic operation?       143         3.2 Legal Speech Acts and Legal Discourse       144         3.2.1 How speech acts matter to law – from the pragmatic point of view       144         3.2.2 Classification of legal speech acts       148         3.2.2.1 Two examples       149         3.2.2.2 Legal speech acts in comparison       149         3.2.3 Implementing speech acts in law       151         3.2.3.1 Institutionalized language use       152         3.2.3.2 Legal speech acts and institutions       152         3.2.3.3 Linguistic operations in law and legal speech acts       154	2.4.9.3 Modernized legal terminology is not unproblematic	131
2.4.11 What does terminology mean in terms of pragmatics?       138         Part 3: Linguistic Operations in Law       141         3.1 Overcoming Terminological Boundaries       141         3.1.1 Linguistic operations in law       142         3.1.2 Is systematization a legal-linguistic operation?       143         3.2 Legal Speech Acts and Legal Discourse       144         3.2.1 How speech acts matter to law – from the pragmatic point of view       144         3.2.2 Classification of legal speech acts       148         3.2.2.1 Two examples       149         3.2.2.2 Legal speech acts in comparison       149         3.2.3.1 Institutionalized language use       151         3.2.3.2 Legal speech acts and institutions       152         3.2.3.3 Linguistic operations in law and legal speech acts       154	2.4.10 Legal Thesaurus	135
Part 3: Linguistic Operations in Law       141         3.1 Overcoming Terminological Boundaries       141         3.1.1 Linguistic operations in law       142         3.1.2 Is systematization a legal-linguistic operation?       143         3.2 Legal Speech Acts and Legal Discourse       144         3.2.1 How speech acts matter to law – from the pragmatic point of view       144         3.2.1 Following Austin       146         3.2.2 Classification of legal speech acts       148         3.2.2.1 Two examples       149         3.2.2.3 Mode of existence of legal speech acts       150         3.2.3 Implementing speech acts in law       151         3.2.3.1 Institutionalized language use       152         3.2.3.2 Legal speech acts and institutions       152         3.2.3.3 Linguistic operations in law and legal speech acts       154	2.4.10.1 Practical consequences for legal dictionaries	137
3.1 Overcoming Terminological Boundaries       141         3.1.1 Linguistic operations in law       142         3.1.2 Is systematization a legal-linguistic operation?       143         3.2 Legal Speech Acts and Legal Discourse       144         3.2.1 How speech acts matter to law – from the pragmatic point of view       144         3.2.2 Classification of legal speech acts       146         3.2.2.1 Two examples       149         3.2.2.2 Legal speech acts in comparison       149         3.2.3 Mode of existence of legal speech acts       150         3.2.3 Implementing speech acts in law       151         3.2.3.2 Legal speech acts and institutions       152         3.2.3.3 Linguistic operations in law and legal speech acts       154	2.4.11 What does terminology mean in terms of pragmatics?	138
3.1.1 Linguistic operations in law       142         3.1.2 Is systematization a legal-linguistic operation?       143         3.2 Legal Speech Acts and Legal Discourse       144         3.2.1 How speech acts matter to law – from the pragmatic point of view       144         3.2.1 Following Austin       146         3.2.2 Classification of legal speech acts       148         3.2.2.1 Two examples       149         3.2.2.2 Legal speech acts in comparison       149         3.2.3 Mode of existence of legal speech acts       150         3.2.3 Implementing speech acts in law       151         3.2.3.1 Institutionalized language use       152         3.2.3.2 Legal speech acts and institutions       152         3.2.3.3 Linguistic operations in law and legal speech acts       154		
3.1.2 Is systematization a legal-linguistic operation?       143         3.2 Legal Speech Acts and Legal Discourse       144         3.2.1 How speech acts matter to law – from the pragmatic point of view       144         3.2.1.1 Following Austin       146         3.2.2 Classification of legal speech acts       148         3.2.2.1 Two examples       149         3.2.2.2 Legal speech acts in comparison       149         3.2.2.3 Mode of existence of legal speech acts       150         3.2.3 Implementing speech acts in law       151         3.2.3.1 Institutionalized language use       152         3.2.3.2 Legal speech acts and institutions       152         3.2.3.3 Linguistic operations in law and legal speech acts       154	Part 3: Linguistic Operations in Law	141
3.2 Legal Speech Acts and Legal Discourse       144         3.2.1 How speech acts matter to law – from the pragmatic point of view       144         3.2.1.1 Following Austin       146         3.2.2 Classification of legal speech acts       148         3.2.2.1 Two examples       149         3.2.2.2 Legal speech acts in comparison       149         3.2.2.3 Mode of existence of legal speech acts       150         3.2.3 Implementing speech acts in law       151         3.2.3.1 Institutionalized language use       152         3.2.3.2 Legal speech acts and institutions       152         3.2.3.3 Linguistic operations in law and legal speech acts       154		
3.2.1 How speech acts matter to law – from the pragmatic point of view       144         3.2.1.1 Following Austin       146         3.2.2 Classification of legal speech acts       148         3.2.2.1 Two examples       149         3.2.2.2 Legal speech acts in comparison       149         3.2.2.3 Mode of existence of legal speech acts       150         3.2.3 Implementing speech acts in law       151         3.2.3.1 Institutionalized language use       152         3.2.3.2 Legal speech acts and institutions       152         3.2.3.3 Linguistic operations in law and legal speech acts       154	3.1 Overcoming Terminological Boundaries	
point of view1443.2.1.1 Following Austin1463.2.2 Classification of legal speech acts1483.2.2.1 Two examples1493.2.2.2 Legal speech acts in comparison1493.2.2.3 Mode of existence of legal speech acts1503.2.3 Implementing speech acts in law1513.2.3.1 Institutionalized language use1523.2.3.2 Legal speech acts and institutions1523.2.3.3 Linguistic operations in law and legal speech acts154	3.1 Overcoming Terminological Boundaries 3.1.1 Linguistic operations in law	141 142
3.2.1.1 Following Austin1463.2.2 Classification of legal speech acts1483.2.2.1 Two examples1493.2.2.2 Legal speech acts in comparison1493.2.2.3 Mode of existence of legal speech acts1503.2.3 Implementing speech acts in law1513.2.3.1 Institutionalized language use1523.2.3.2 Legal speech acts and institutions1523.2.3.3 Linguistic operations in law and legal speech acts154	<ul> <li>3.1 Overcoming Terminological Boundaries</li> <li>3.1.1 Linguistic operations in law</li></ul>	141 142 143
3.2.2 Classification of legal speech acts1483.2.2.1 Two examples1493.2.2.2 Legal speech acts in comparison1493.2.2.3 Mode of existence of legal speech acts1503.2.3 Implementing speech acts in law1513.2.3.1 Institutionalized language use1523.2.3.2 Legal speech acts and institutions1523.2.3.3 Linguistic operations in law and legal speech acts154	<ul> <li>3.1 Overcoming Terminological Boundaries</li> <li>3.1.1 Linguistic operations in law</li> <li>3.1.2 Is systematization a legal-linguistic operation?</li> <li>3.2 Legal Speech Acts and Legal Discourse</li> </ul>	141 142 143
3.2.2.1 Two examples1493.2.2.2 Legal speech acts in comparison1493.2.2.3 Mode of existence of legal speech acts1503.2.3 Implementing speech acts in law1513.2.3.1 Institutionalized language use1523.2.3.2 Legal speech acts and institutions1523.2.3.3 Linguistic operations in law and legal speech acts154	<ul> <li>3.1 Overcoming Terminological Boundaries</li> <li>3.1.1 Linguistic operations in law</li> <li>3.1.2 Is systematization a legal-linguistic operation?</li> <li>3.2 Legal Speech Acts and Legal Discourse</li> <li>3.2.1 How speech acts matter to law – from the pragmatic</li> </ul>	141 142 143 144
3.2.2.2 Legal speech acts in comparison1493.2.2.3 Mode of existence of legal speech acts1503.2.3 Implementing speech acts in law1513.2.3.1 Institutionalized language use1523.2.3.2 Legal speech acts and institutions1523.2.3.3 Linguistic operations in law and legal speech acts154	<ul> <li>3.1 Overcoming Terminological Boundaries</li></ul>	
3.2.2.3 Mode of existence of legal speech acts.1503.2.3 Implementing speech acts in law1513.2.3.1 Institutionalized language use1523.2.3.2 Legal speech acts and institutions1523.2.3.3 Linguistic operations in law and legal speech acts154	<ul> <li>3.1 Overcoming Terminological Boundaries</li></ul>	
3.2.3 Implementing speech acts in law1513.2.3.1 Institutionalized language use1523.2.3.2 Legal speech acts and institutions1523.2.3.3 Linguistic operations in law and legal speech acts154	<ul> <li>3.1 Overcoming Terminological Boundaries</li></ul>	
<ul> <li>3.2.3.1 Institutionalized language use</li></ul>	<ul> <li>3.1 Overcoming Terminological Boundaries</li></ul>	
3.2.3.2 Legal speech acts and institutions1523.2.3.3 Linguistic operations in law and legal speech acts154	<ul> <li>3.1 Overcoming Terminological Boundaries</li> <li>3.1.1 Linguistic operations in law</li> <li>3.1.2 Is systematization a legal-linguistic operation?</li> <li>3.2 Legal Speech Acts and Legal Discourse</li> <li>3.2.1 How speech acts matter to law – from the pragmatic point of view</li> <li>3.2.1.1 Following Austin</li> <li>3.2.2 Classification of legal speech acts</li> <li>3.2.2.1 Two examples</li> <li>3.2.2.2 Legal speech acts in comparison</li> </ul>	
3.2.3.3 Linguistic operations in law and legal speech acts	<ul> <li>3.1 Overcoming Terminological Boundaries</li> <li>3.1.1 Linguistic operations in law</li> <li>3.1.2 Is systematization a legal-linguistic operation?</li> <li>3.2 Legal Speech Acts and Legal Discourse</li> <li>3.2.1 How speech acts matter to law – from the pragmatic point of view</li> <li>3.2.1.1 Following Austin</li> <li>3.2.2 Classification of legal speech acts</li> <li>3.2.2.1 Two examples</li> <li>3.2.2.2 Legal speech acts in comparison</li> <li>3.2.2.3 Mode of existence of legal speech acts.</li> </ul>	
3.2.3.3 Linguistic operations in law and legal speech acts	<ul> <li>3.1 Overcoming Terminological Boundaries</li> <li>3.1.1 Linguistic operations in law</li> <li>3.1.2 Is systematization a legal-linguistic operation?</li> <li>3.2 Legal Speech Acts and Legal Discourse</li> <li>3.2.1 How speech acts matter to law – from the pragmatic point of view</li> <li>3.2.1.1 Following Austin</li> <li>3.2.2 Classification of legal speech acts</li> <li>3.2.2.1 Two examples</li> <li>3.2.2.2 Legal speech acts in comparison</li> <li>3.2.2.3 Mode of existence of legal speech acts.</li> <li>3.2.3 Implementing speech acts in law</li> <li>3.2.3.1 Institutionalized language use</li> </ul>	
3.2.3.4 Language games155	<ul> <li>3.1 Overcoming Terminological Boundaries</li> <li>3.1.1 Linguistic operations in law</li> <li>3.1.2 Is systematization a legal-linguistic operation?</li> <li>3.2 Legal Speech Acts and Legal Discourse</li> <li>3.2.1 How speech acts matter to law – from the pragmatic point of view</li> <li>3.2.1.1 Following Austin</li> <li>3.2.2 Classification of legal speech acts</li> <li>3.2.2.1 Two examples</li> <li>3.2.2.2 Legal speech acts in comparison</li> <li>3.2.2.3 Mode of existence of legal speech acts.</li> <li>3.2.3 Implementing speech acts in law</li> <li>3.2.3.1 Institutionalized language use</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>3.1 Overcoming Terminological Boundaries</li> <li>3.1.1 Linguistic operations in law</li> <li>3.1.2 Is systematization a legal-linguistic operation?</li> <li>3.2 Legal Speech Acts and Legal Discourse</li> <li>3.2.1 How speech acts matter to law – from the pragmatic point of view</li> <li>3.2.1.1 Following Austin</li> <li>3.2.2 Classification of legal speech acts</li> <li>3.2.2.1 Two examples</li> <li>3.2.2.2 Legal speech acts in comparison</li> <li>3.2.2.3 Mode of existence of legal speech acts.</li> <li>3.2.3 Implementing speech acts in law</li> <li>3.2.3.1 Institutionalized language use</li> <li>3.2.3.2 Legal speech acts and institutions</li> <li>3.2.3.3 Linguistic operations in law and legal speech acts</li></ul>	

3.3 Legal Argumentation	156
3.3.1 Legal rhetoric	157
3.3.1.1 Argumentation and rhetoric	158
3.3.1.2 Argumentation in East Asian tradition	159
3.3.2 Are legal arguments sophisms?	161
3.3.3 Argumentation in legal discourse	162
3.3.4 Procedural aspects of legal argumentation	164
3.3.4.1 Façade-argumentation	165
3.3.5 More or less sophisticated argumentation models in law	166
3.3.5.1 Argumentation in law can be very simple	
3.3.5.2 A more complicated model of legal argumentation	169
3.3.5.3 Advanced models of legal argumentation	169
3.4 Argumentation in the Legal Practice	171
3.4.1 Argumentation in U.S. court decisions	171
3.4.1.1 Constitutional issues	172
3.4.1.2 Criminal matters	174
3.4.1.3 Business Law	175
3.4.2 Argumentation in concurring and dissenting opinions	
3.4.3 Argumentation in International Law	184
3.4.4 Argumentation in negotiations	184
3.5 Successful Legal Argumentation	
3.5.1 Theoretical problems	
3.5.2 Elements of legal argumentation	
3.5.3 Towards a more convincing argumentation	
3.5.3.1 Quality of arguments	
3.5.3.2 Inferential model of linguistic communication	
3.6 Legal Interpretation	
3.6.1 Why we interpret?	189
3.6.1.1 Interpreting statutes and precedents	191
3.6.1.2 Exegesis	193
3.6.2 Do utterances which need not be interpreted exist in law?	
3.6.3 Limits of legal interpretation	
3.6.3.1 The implicit in law	
3.6.3.2 Aporetic character of law	197

3.6.3.3 Inferentialist explication of meaning	198
3.6.3.4 Parties' intent	199
3.6.4 Interpretation and linguistic manipulation	200
3.6.4.1 Interpretation and misinterpretation	201
3.6.4.2 Over-interpretation	201
3.6.4.3 Lies	202
3.6.4.4 Half-truths	204
3.6.4.5 Controlling lies	205
3.6.4.6 Professional errors	206
3.6.5 Creative interpretation in Legal Linguistics	
3.6.5.1 Law as integrity	
3.6.5.2 Hard and routine cases	210
3.6.5.3 Why follow Dworkin?	211
3.6.5.4 Controversies about law or language?	
3.6.6 Particular problems in interpreting statutes and contracts	215
3.6.6.1 Statutes on interpretation	216
3.6.6.2 Interpreting statutes	218
3.6.6.3 Contract interpretation under the common law	220
3.6.6.4 Interpretation in the International Law	221
3.6.7 Is law based on interpretive or argumentative practices?	222
3.6.8 Interpretation in natural sciences	223
3.7 Legal Translation	224
3.7.1 Linguistic transformations of law	224
3.7.2 A retrospective upon legal translation	225
3.7.3 Terminological equivalence	
3.7.4 Descriptive model of legal translation	
3.7.5 Legal metalanguage	231
3.7.6 Interdisciplinary aspects	232
3.7.7 Translating legal speech acts	233
3.7.8 Intentionality in translation	234
3.7.9 Avoiding translation	236
3.7.10 Prospects for legal translation	237
3.8 Other Legal-Linguistic Operations	238
3.8.1 Justifying argumentation	

3.8.2 Describing facts	
3.8.3 Legal research	240
3.9 Legal-Linguistic Operations in the Legal Discourse	
3.9.1 Centrality of the legal discourse	
3.9.2 Notion of the legal discourse	
3.9.3 Structure of the legal discourse	242
3.9.4 Legal discourse and creativity	243
3.9.5 How the legal discourse works?	243
Part 4: Literature and Law	247
4.1 Writing and Reading in Law and Elsewhere	247
4.1.1 Resemblances and differences	
4.1.2 Rules in texts	248
4.1.3 Reading texts and applying rules	249
4.1.4 Complexity of literary texts	250
4.1.5 Written legal texts	251
4.1.6 How are good legal texts written?	253
4.1.7 Intertextuality in law	253
4.2 Textuality and Interpretation	254
4.2.1 Is there only language in literature?	254
4.2.2 Limits of legal and literary texts	255
4.2.3 Legal and literary interpretation	256
4.2.4 Aren't we able to cope with narrative or texual ambiguity?	257
4.3 Creating Laws and Creating Fiction	257
4.3.1 Narrativity of legal texts	258
4.3.2 Literality of legal texts	258
4.3.3 Literality of precedents	
4.3.4 Reading cases as if they were stories	263
4.3.5 Facts in law	264
4.3.5.1 A case study	265
4.3.6 Judges apply law and more than that	
4.3.7 Literality and the institutional character of judicial opinions	
4.3.8 What is then specific in legal texts?	
4.3.9 Literature and Law as part of Legal Linguistics	270

Part 5: Global Law and its Language	271
5.1 Converging Laws and Converging Languages	271
5.1.1 Globalization of law	271
5.1.2 Legal Linguistics and Comparative Law	273
5.1.3 Legal terminology and cultural diversity	275
5.1.4 Argumentation in Comparative Law	277
5.1.5 Linguistic aspects of the E.U. law and the U.S. law	278
5.1.6 Lessons learned	281
5.2 Épistémologie of Law as Search for the	
Original Legal Language	282
5.2.1 Épistémè in law	
5.2.2 Legal-linguistic aspects of the Roman law	284
5.2.2.1 Romans conscious of legal language	284
5.2.2.2 Formal legal education	285
5.2.2.3 Use of Latin in contemporary statutes and court decisions	286
5.2.2.4 Incorporation of legal Latin into national legal languages	
5.2.2.5 Systematization in the Roman law	287
5.2.2.5.1 Possible reconstructions	288
5.2.2.5.2 Case study: res incorporales	289
5.2.2.5.3 The rule in Roman law	291
5.2.3 Following ancient Greeks or Romans and their law?	291
5.3 Some Tentative Results of the Retrospective upon Law	
and its Language	
5.3.1 What means creation in the legal language?	
5.3.2 Creation and interpretation in law	
5.3.2.1 Legislative drafting and understandability	
5.3.2.2 Discursive parameters	295
5.4 Other Language-Related Areas of Globalizing Law	298
5.4.1 Linguistic legislation	299
5.4.1.1 Idea of a linguistic legislation	300
5.4.1.2 Minority legislation and the European culture	301
5.4.1.3 Social and scientific perspectives on multilingualism	301
5.4.1.4 Minority legislation and linguistic legislation	302
5.4.1.5 Nature of linguistic rights and their background	305

5.4.1.6 Structure of linguistic legislation	
5.4.1.7 Linguistic policy	
5.4.1.8 Role of legislation in conflict prevention	
5.4.1.9 Soft law, recommendations and promotional measures	
5.4.1.10 Interpreting minority and linguistic legislation	
5.4.1.11 Specific aspects in the interpretation of linguistic legislati	on314
5.4.1.12 Interpretive methods	
5.4.2 Comparative language law	
5.4.2.1 French linguistic legislation	
5.4.2.2 Russian federal linguistic legislation	
5.4.2.3 Finnish linguistic legislation	
5.4.3 Conclusions on linguistic legislation	
5.4.4 Language risk	
5.4.5 Professional liability of translators	
Part 6: Conclusions	
Part 7: Notes and Materials	
(1) On the relation between pragmatics and semantics	
(2) Discourse and discursiveness	
(3) Context in Legal Linguistics	
(4) Sale contract from Mesopotamia	
(5) Valerius Probus' De Juris Notarum	
(6) Aulus Gellius on legal vocabulary	
(7) Aulus Gellius on legal education	
(8) A legal text in Old English	
(9) Promissory note in English and Hawaiian	
(10) Excerpts from Japan's Constitutions	
(11) Examples of legal definitions	
(12) A translation from Turkish into 'German'	
(13) Abbreviated language of a German Code	345
(14) Italian massime di giurisprudenza	
(15) A. M. Honoré on the way how people speak and write	
(16) Excerpts from the CISG	347
(17) Excerpts from the UCC	

(18) Statutory provisions (Truth in Music Advertising Act)
(19) French, Italian, German and international statutory provisions
on interpretation
(20) French governmental instruction on counting paragraphs in
legislative drafts
(21) Excerpts from OSCE Recommendations on Minority and
Linguistic Rights
(22) Structure of French court decisions (1)
(23) Structure of French court decisions (2)
(24) Composition of French court decisions
(25) Composition of Italian court decisions
(26) Composition of German court decisions
(27) Samples of Japanese court decisions
(28) Plessy v. Fergusson (U.S.)
(29) Brown v. Board of Education (U.S.)
(30) Frigaliment v. B.N.S. (U.S.)
(31) OHG v. Kolodny (U.S.)
(32) U.S. v. Haggar Apparel (U.S.)
(33) Rollerblade v. U.S. (U.S.)
(34) Palsgraf v. Long Island Railroad (U.S.)
(35) Hynes v. New York Central Railroad (U.S.)
(36) Torres v. Reardon (U.S.)
(37) Bronston v. U.S. (U.S.)
(38) In re Maldonado (U.K.)
Bibliography
General bibliography
Bibliography concerning linguistic legislation
Index of Issues
Index of Names