# Business Models for Renewable Energy: Determinants of Financial Performance and Investment Decisions

#### DISSERTATION

of the University of St. Gallen,
Graduate School of Business Administration,
Economics, Law and Social Sciences (HSG)
to obtain the title of
Doctor Oeconomiae

submitted by

Moritz Loock

from

Germany

Approved on the application of

Prof. Dr. Rolf Wüstenhagen

and

Prof. Dr. Marcus Schögel

Dissertation no. 3833

ADAG COPY AG, Zürich 2010

## C. Table of Contents

A.	. Abstract			
В.	. Zusammenfassu	ıng	III	
C.	. Table of Conten	nts	ıv	
D.	. List of Figures		vı	
E.	. List of Tables		vii	
1.	. Introduction		1	
	1.2.       Frame for rene	of reference: a generic map of generic business mode lewable energy	ls	
<i>2</i> .	. First Paper: How do Busines Renewable Ener	First Paper: How do Business Models Impact Financial Performance of Renewable Energy Firms?23		
	<ul><li>2.2. Problet</li><li>2.3. Theory</li><li>2.4. Method</li><li>2.5. Results</li><li>2.6. Discus</li></ul>	nct	24 26 34 38	
3.	. Second Paper: Going Beyond B Investors' Prefe	Second Paper: Going Beyond Best Technology and Lowest Price: Renewable Energy Investors' Preference for Service-Driven Business Models50		
	3.2. Introdu	uctuction and problem and research questiony	51	

7.	Curricul	lum Vitae168		
	0.7.	Conferences where the papers have been presented		
	6.9.	Screenshots of the survey for paper 3		
	6.8.	List of invited banks for paper 3		
	6.6. 6.7.	Screenshots of the survey for paper 2		
	6.5.	List of invited investment managers for paper 2		
		List of companies considered for paper 1		
	6.3.	Conference minutes: EPIA PV Investments 2009		
	6.2. 6.3.	Preliminary explorative research		
	6.1.	List of industry reports for preliminary research		
	6.1	The Children and Children		
6.	Appendi	x115		
	3.3.	References113		
	5.4. 5.5.	Research outlook		
	5.4.	Limitations and implications for further research		
	5.3.	Implications for practice		
	5.1. 5.2.	Main findings		
٥.	Conclusion and Discussion			
_				
	4.8.	References95		
	4.7.	Discussion and implications for practice and research92		
	4.6.	Results		
	4.5.	Method83		
	4.4.	Theory		
	4.3.	Problem and research question		
	4.2.	Introduction		
	4.1.	Abstract71		
4.	Third Paper:  Debt for Brands: Tracking Down a Bias in Project Financing			
	erri . 1 D			
	3.8.	References		
	3.7.	Discussion and implications for practice and research		
	3.6.	Results61		

## D. List of Figures

Figure 1: Clean energy capital requirement per year

Figure 2: Business model: a mediator between a technology and economic value creation

Figure 3: Generic map of business models for renewable energy

Figure 4: Research process for this thesis

Figure 5: Generic value chain for renewable energy

Figure 6: Geographical split of renewable energy firms

Figure 7: Tobin's q mean over time

Figure 7: Tobin's q mean for renewable energy companies over time

Figure 8a/b: Differences on business model performance between wind and solar

Figure 9: Investment managers' investment technology preference

Figure 10: Investment managers' value chain preference

Figure 11: Screenshot of online survey with choice experiments

Figure 12: Alternative visualization of utility values as zero-centered diffs

Figure 13: Average importance of business model attributes

Figure 14: Zero-centered diffs (Attribute Levels)

Figure 15: Average importance of attributes

Figure 16: Results of simulation 1

Figure 17: Results of simulation 2 "High DSCR Project" / "Low DSCR Project"

Figure 18: Results of simulation 3 "Low Risk Project" / "High Risk Project"

### E. List of Tables

Table 1: Code definition for independent variables

Table 2: Frequencies

Table 3: Performance of business models for renewable energy

Table 4: Correlation between Tobin's q and business model characteristics

Table 5: Correlations between Tobin's q and strength of different business mode gestalt-themes

Table 6: Renewable energy investment managers' investment style

Table 7: Attributes and levels for the conjoint experiment

Table 8: Average utility values of business model attribute levels

Table 9: Simulation of investors' share of preference for different business models

Table 10: Definition of debt service cover ratio

Table 11: Prototypical project initiators

Table 12: PV facility life cycle

Table 13: Attributes and attribute levels

Table 14: ACBC analysis – HB summary of results